



**ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM
FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

May 8, 2015

**NEXGEN ENERGY LTD.
ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM
FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION AND STATEMENTS.....	1
CORPORATE STRUCTURE	1
GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS	2
Overview	2
History	2
Change to Year-End.....	4
Vertical Short Form Amalgamation.....	4
Post-Qualifying Transaction Financings.....	4
DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS	6
General	6
Radio Option Agreement.....	6
Mega Agreement	6
Exploration	7
Principal Products.....	8
Competitive Conditions	8
Environmental Protection	8
Employees	8
Specialized Skills and Knowledge	8
DETAILS OF THE ROOK I PROJECT – SUMMARY OF ROOK I TECHNICAL REPORT.....	8
Project Description and Location	9
Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure and Physiography	9
History	10
Geological Setting.....	11
Exploration	12
Mineralization.....	13
Drilling	13
Sampling and Analysis	15
Security of Samples	17
DETAILS OF THE ROOK I PROJECT – SUBSEQUENT EXPLORATION	17
DETAILS OF THE RADIO PROJECT	25
Project Description and Location	25
Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure and Physiography	25
History	26
Geological Setting.....	28
Exploration	29
Mineralization.....	29
Drilling	29
Sampling, Analysis and Security.....	29
Exploration and Development.....	30
DETAILS OF THE RADIO PROJECT – SUBSEQUENT EXPLORATION.....	30
Sampling and Analysis	31
Security of Samples	33
RISK FACTORS	33
Negative Operating Cash Flow and Dependence on Third Party Financing	33
Uncertainty of Additional Funding.....	34
Forfeiture of the Radio Option Agreement	34
Influence of Large Shareholders.....	34
Limited Operating History	34
No Known Mineral Reserves or Mineral Resources	34
Alternate Sources of Energy And Uranium Prices.....	35
Aboriginal Title and Consultation Issues	35
Exploration Risks	35
Reliance upon Key Management and Other Personnel	35

Title to Properties.....	36
Uninsurable Risks	36
Conflicts of Interest	36
Permits and Licences.....	36
Environmental and other Regulatory Requirements.....	37
Political Regulatory Risks.....	37
Competition.....	37
Volatility of Share Price.....	37
Dividend Policy	38
DIVIDENDS.....	38
DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE.....	38
TRADING PRICE AND VOLUME	38
PRIOR SALES.....	39
ESCROWED SECURITIES.....	39
DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS.....	40
Cease Trade Orders, Bankruptcies, Penalties and Sanctions.....	44
Conflicts of Interest	45
LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND REGULATORY ACTIONS.....	45
INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS.....	45
AUDITORS, TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR	45
MATERIAL CONTRACTS	46
INTERESTS OF EXPERTS	46
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.....	46
AUDIT COMMITTEE DISCLOSURE.....	47

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION AND STATEMENTS

This annual information form contains "forward-looking information" and "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities legislation and United States securities legislation. Forward-looking information and statements include, but are not limited to, statements with respect to the planned exploration activities, future financings, the future price of uranium and requirements for additional capital. Generally, forward-looking information and statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "plans", "expects", "is expected", "budget", "scheduled", "estimates", "forecasts", "intends", "anticipates", or "believes", or the negative connotation thereof or variations of such words and phrases or state that certain actions, events or results "may", "could", "would", "might" or "will be taken", "occur" or "be achieved" or the negative connotation thereof.

Forward-looking information and statements are based on the then current expectations, beliefs, assumptions, estimates and forecasts about NexGen's business and the industry and markets in which it operates. Forward-looking information and statements are made based upon certain assumptions and other important factors that could cause the actual results, performances or achievements of NexGen (as defined below) to be materially different from future results, performances or achievements expressed or implied by such information or statements. Such information and statements are based on numerous assumptions regarding present and future business strategies and the environment in which NexGen will operate in the future, including, among others, the results of previous exploration activities, the price of uranium, anticipated cost of planned exploration activities, that general business and economic conditions will not change in a material adverse manner, that financing will be available if and when needed on reasonable terms, that NexGen's current exploration activities can be achieved and that its other corporate activities will proceed as expected, that third party contractors and that equipment and supplies and governmental and other approvals required to conduct NexGen's planned exploration activities will be available on reasonable terms and in a timely manner. Certain important factors that could cause actual results, performances or achievements to differ materially from those in the forward-looking information or statements include, among others, negative operating cash flow and dependence on third party financing, uncertainty of additional financing, limited operating history, no known mineral reserves or mineral resources, alternate sources of energy, aboriginal title and consultation issues, influence of large shareholders, exploration risks, reliance upon key management and other personnel, title to properties, uninsurable risks, conflicts of interest, permits and licenses, environmental and other regulatory requirements, political regulatory risks, competition, volatility of share price and NexGen's dividend policy. Although NexGen has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual actions, events or results to differ materially from those described in forward-looking information or statements, there may be other factors that cause actions, events or results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended.

There can be no assurance that such information or statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such information or statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking information or statements. The forward-looking information and statements contained in this annual information form are made as of the date of this annual information form and, accordingly, are subject to change after such date. NexGen does not undertake to update any forward-looking information or statements, except in accordance with applicable securities laws.

CORPORATE STRUCTURE

NexGen Energy Ltd. ("**NexGen**" or the "**Corporation**") was incorporated on March 8, 2011 under the *Business Corporations Act* (British Columbia) (the "**BCBCA**") as "Clermont Capital Inc.", a "capital pool company" within the meaning of Policy 2.4 – *Capital Pool Companies* (the "**CPC Policy**") of the TSX Venture Exchange (the "**TSXV**"). On August 29, 2012, the Corporation's common shares commenced trading on the TSXV under the symbol "XYZ.P".

On April 19, 2013, the Corporation completed its "qualifying transaction" and in connection therewith consolidated its common shares on a 2.35:1 basis and changed its name to "NexGen Energy Ltd." On April

22, 2013, the Corporation's common shares commenced trading under the symbol "NXE". See "General Development of Business – History".

The Corporation is a reporting issuer in the provinces of British Columbia, Alberta, Ontario Saskatchewan, Manitoba, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island, Newfoundland and Labrador.

The Corporation's head office is located at Suite 2450, 650 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6B 4N9 and its registered office is located at #2270 – 1055 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6E 3P3.

The Corporation does not have any subsidiaries.

GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS

OVERVIEW

Prior to completion of the Qualifying Transaction (as defined below) on April 19, 2013, the Corporation was a "capital pool company" and did not have business operations or assets, other than cash, and conducted no business operations except for the identification and evaluation of potential acquisitions to qualify as a "qualifying transaction".

Since completion of the Qualifying Transaction, the Corporation is and has been engaged in the exploration of its portfolio of early stage uranium exploration properties, principally the Radio Project and the Rook I Project (each as defined below), each located in the Athabasca Basin of Saskatchewan.

NexGen's principal assets are: (i) a 100% interest in the Rook I project, an early stage exploration project in the Athabasca Basin, Saskatchewan (the "**Rook I Project**"), which includes the Arrow Zone identified by NexGen in February 2014 and the Bow Discovery in March 2015; and (ii) an exclusive option to acquire a 70% interest in the Radio project, an early stage uranium exploration project in the Athabasca Basin, Saskatchewan (the "**Radio Project**").

The Rook I Project is located the Southwest Athabasca Basin, Saskatchewan, Canada. The Rook I Project consists of nine (9) contiguous mineral claims totalling 35,061 hectares, including the Arrow Zone.

The Radio Project is located in the North East Athabasca Basin, adjacent to previously and currently mined uranium deposits. It comprises mineral claim S-113997 and is 847 hectares. Certain structural trends on the Radio Project are similar to those hosting mineralization on adjacent properties in the area, including Rio Tinto's Roughrider deposits.

HISTORY

Pre-Qualifying Transaction Financings

On May 16, 2011, the Corporation completed a private placement financing of 7,000,000 common shares at a price of \$0.05 per share for gross proceeds of \$350,000. On December 1, 2011, the Corporation completed a private placement financing of 3,800,000 common shares at a price of \$0.10 per share for gross proceeds of \$380,000. On April 23, 2012, the Corporation completed a private placement financing of 2,000,000 common shares at a price of \$0.10 per share for gross proceeds of \$200,000.

On August 29, 2012, the Corporation completed its initial public offering, pursuant to which the Corporation issued 3,000,000 common shares at a price of \$0.10 per share for gross proceeds of \$300,000 and the Corporation's common shares commenced trading on the TSXV under the symbol "XYZ.P".

Qualifying Transaction

On December 31, 2012, the Corporation entered into an amalgamation agreement (the "**Amalgamation Agreement**") with NexGen Energy Ltd. ("**Old NexGen**"), pursuant to which: (i) the Corporation would consolidate its common shares on a 2.35:1 basis (the "**Consolidation**"); (ii) the Corporation would acquire all of the issued and outstanding common shares of Old NexGen in exchange for common shares of the Corporation, on a one-for-one (post-Consolidation) basis; (iii) Old NexGen would amalgamate with 0957633 B.C. Ltd., then a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Corporation (incorporated on December 14, 2012 under the BCBCA), created solely for that purpose, to form "NexGen Uranium Ltd."; (iv) the Corporation would change its name to "NexGen Energy Ltd."; (v) the board of directors and management of the Corporation would be reconstituted to consist of nominees of Old NexGen; and (vi) the holders of all outstanding options and warrants of Old NexGen would receive replacement options and warrants to purchase options and warrants of the Corporation substantially on the same terms and conditions (collectively, the "**Qualifying Transaction**").

The Qualifying Transaction was approved by the shareholders of each of the Corporation and Old NexGen on March 14, 2013 and was completed on April 19, 2013, upon receipt of TSXV approval. The Qualifying Transaction was a "qualifying transaction" for the purposes of the CPC Policy. On April 22, 2013, the Corporation's common shares commenced trading under the symbol "NXE".

Upon completion of the Qualifying Transaction, the Corporation acquired the assets and operations of Old NexGen described below through its then wholly-owned subsidiary, NexGen Uranium Ltd.

Although the Qualifying Transaction resulted in NexGen Uranium Ltd. becoming a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Corporation, the Qualifying Transaction constituted a reverse take-over of the Corporation in as much as the shareholders of Old NexGen hold a majority of the outstanding shares of the Corporation and 6 of 7 of the Corporation's directors are nominees (and former directors) of Old NexGen.

Old NexGen

Old NexGen was incorporated on December 20, 2011 under the BCBCA for the purpose of acquiring the Radio Project. To that end, on February 21, 2012 Old NexGen entered into a transfer agreement (the "**Transfer Agreement**") with Tigers Realm Minerals Pty Ltd. ("**Tigers Realm**") pursuant to which Tigers Realm transferred its interest in the Radio option agreement between Tigers Realm and Michael Lederhouse, Timothy A. Young and Matthew J. Mason as optionors (collectively, the "**Optionors**") dated December 5, 2011 (the "**Radio Option Agreement**") to Old NexGen.

The Radio Option Agreement (as amended June 5, 2012, November 23, 2012, April 19, 2013, June 25, 2013, June 28, 2013 and January 15, 2014) granted NexGen the exclusive option to acquire a 70% interest in the Radio Project, in exchange for a combination of cash, shares and exploration expenditures on the Radio Project. See "Description of the Business - Radio Option Agreement" for a description of the terms of that agreement.

On February 21, 2012, NexGen entered into a shareholder loan agreement with Old NexGen to formally document the advance of funds from Tigers Realm to Old NexGen since incorporation and from time to time thereafter (the "**Loan Agreement**").

Pursuant to the Loan Agreement, amounts owing thereunder shall not bear interest unless Tigers Realm shall so determine at the time of the advance and all advances shall be repaid on the earlier of January 1, 2014 and the date on which Old NexGen and Tigers Realm agree that Old NexGen's financial position allows repayment. Pursuant to an amendment to the Loan Agreement made effective April 15, 2013, Tigers Realm agreed not to demand payment of any or all amounts owing by Old NexGen under the Loan Agreement before October 9, 2014. As of the date hereof, none of the amounts advanced under the Loan Agreement are interest bearing. As at May 8, 2015, \$1,354,664 is owing by Old NexGen (now NexGen, See "Vertical Short Form Amalgamation", below) to Tigers Realm under the Loan Agreement.

On December 3, 2012 and December 19, 2012, Old NexGen completed a non-brokered private placement of: (i) 3,750,000 units at a price of \$0.40 per unit to Tigris Uranium Corp.; and (ii) 3,898,532 units at a price of \$0.40 per unit to existing shareholders and associates of Old NexGen, with each unit consisting of one common share and one-half of one common share purchase warrant, each such whole warrant entitling the holder thereof to purchase an additional common share of Old NexGen at a price of \$0.60 for a period of 24 months.

On December 18, 2012, Old NexGen purchased from Mega Uranium Ltd. ("**Mega**") certain uranium exploration assets located in the Athabasca Basin, Saskatchewan and the Thelon Basin Project in Nunavut (the "**Mega Assets**"), pursuant to the terms of an asset purchase agreement between Mega and Old NexGen dated November 14, 2012 (the "**Mega Agreement**"). As consideration for the Mega Assets, Old NexGen issued to Mega 21,876,265 Old NexGen common shares. The purchased Mega Assets included the Rook I Project and the NW Athabasca Project.

On December 28, 2012, Old NexGen completed a brokered private placement of: (i) 2,000,000 subscription receipts at a price of \$0.40 per subscription receipt; and (ii) 1,325,000 flow through shares at a price of \$0.40 per flow through share, pursuant to an agency agreement between Old NexGen and Macquarie Capital Markets Canada Ltd. Each subscription receipt automatically converted (for no additional consideration upon the completion of the Qualifying Transaction), into one common share of NexGen and one-half of one common share purchase warrant, with each whole warrant entitling the holder thereof to purchase one common share at a price of \$0.60 for a period of 24 months.

On March 12, 2013, and in connection with the Qualifying Transaction, Old NexGen completed a brokered private placement of: (i) 2,753,000 units at a price of \$0.40 per unit, with each unit consisting of one common share and one-half of one common share purchase warrant, each such whole warrant entitling the holder thereof to purchase an additional common share at a price of \$0.60 for a period of 24 months; and (ii) 7,256,500 flow through common shares at a price of \$0.425 per flow through common share, pursuant to an agency agreement between Old NexGen and Secutor Capital Management Corporation and Marquest Asset Management Inc.

On April 16, 2013, and also in connection with the Qualifying Transaction, Old NexGen completed a non-brokered private placement of 1,337,500 units at a price of \$0.40 per unit, with each unit consisting of one common share and one-half of one common share purchase warrant, each such whole warrant entitling the holder thereof to purchase an additional common share at a price of \$0.60 for a period of 24 months.

CHANGE TO YEAR-END

In connection with the Qualifying Transaction the Corporation's financial year end was changed from January 31, 2013 to December 31, 2013, to coincide with that of Old NexGen.

VERTICAL SHORT FORM AMALGAMATION

On October 24, 2013, NexGen amalgamated with NexGen Uranium Ltd., its wholly-owned subsidiary, pursuant to a vertical short form amalgamation under section 273 of the BCBCA (the "**Vertical Amalgamation**"). Pursuant to the Vertical Amalgamation, all of the issued and outstanding common shares of NexGen Uranium Ltd. were cancelled, and the assets, obligations and liabilities of NexGen Uranium Ltd. continued as the assets, obligations and liabilities of NexGen.

POST-QUALIFYING TRANSACTION FINANCINGS

Year ended December 31, 2013

On August 29, 2013, NexGen completed a non-brokered private placement of 14,285,715 units at a price of \$0.35 per unit, with each unit consisting of one common share and one-half of one common share purchase

warrant, each such whole warrant entitling the holder thereof to purchase an additional common share at a price of \$0.55 for a period of 24 months.

On December 19, 2013, NexGen completed a brokered private placement of 10,547,999 flow through shares at a price of \$0.30 per share, pursuant to an agency agreement dated December 19, 2013 between NexGen and Secutor Capital Management Corporation.

Year ended December 31, 2014

On March 26, 2014 NexGen closed, in connection with a short term prospectus, a "bought deal" with Dundee Securities Ltd., on behalf of a syndicate of underwriters, to purchase 22,300,000 units at a price of \$0.45 per unit. Each unit consisting of one common share and one-half of one common share purchase warrant. Each whole warrant entitling the holder thereof to purchase an additional common share at a price of \$0.65 for a period of 24 months.

Effective April 22, 2014 the NexGen board of directors adopted a shareholder rights plan (the "**Shareholder Rights Plan**") having terms similar to plans adopted by other Canadian reporting issuers. The Shareholders Rights Plan is intended to ensure that, to the extent possible: the shareholders of the Corporation and the board have adequate time to consider and evaluate any unsolicited bid for the common shares of the Corporation; the board has adequate time to identify, develop and negotiate value-enhancing alternatives, if considered appropriate, to any such unsolicited bid; the Corporation's shareholders are treated fairly in connection with any take-over bid made for the shares of NexGen; and the board can take steps to enhance shareholder value if an unsolicited bid is received. The adoption of the Shareholders Rights Plan was ratified and approved by NexGen shareholders at its annual general meeting held on May 22, 2014.

On April 25, 2014, the Corporation announced it had entered into an agreement (the "**2014 Option Agreement**") to acquire a 75% interest in, and a 48-month option (the "**Option**") to acquire the remaining 25% interest in, five mineral claims located in the eastern section of the Athabasca Basin in northern Saskatchewan for a purchase price of such number of common shares of the Corporation as are equal \$135,000, calculated based on the volume weighted five-day average trading price on the TSXV. The Property is subject to a royalty of 2% of net smelter returns and a 2% gross overriding royalty. In the event that NexGen exercises the Option, it is also required to issue such number of common shares as are equal to \$45,000, calculated based on the volume weighted five-day average trading price on the TSXV.

On November 12, 2014, NexGen completed a brokered private placement of 25,000,000 flow-through common shares at a price of \$0.46 per common share pursuant to an agency agreement between NexGen and Cormark Securities Inc, Dundee Securities Ltd, Cantor Fitzgerald Canada Corporation and Edgcrest Capital Corp.

Subsequent Events

On May 4, 2015 the Corporation announced it had entered into an agreement with a syndicate of underwriters pursuant to which they have agreed to purchase, on a bought deal basis, 40,000,000 common shares at a price of \$0.50 per share for gross proceeds of \$20 million, to be conducted through a syndicate of underwriters co-led by Cormark Securities Inc. and Cantor Fitzgerald Canada Corporation, and including Dundee Securities Ltd., and Haywood Securities Inc. In addition, the NexGen agreed to grant to the underwriters an option to purchase up to an additional 15% of the number of common shares sold under the offering at a price of \$0.50 per common share, on the same terms and conditions as the offering, exercisable at any time, in whole or in part, until the date that is 30 days following the closing of the offering. On May 5, 2015, the Corporation announced that the terms of the financing agreement had been amended to increase the offering to a total of 47,480,000 common shares for gross proceeds of \$23,740,000. If the underwriter's option to purchase an additional 15% of the number of common shares sold under the offering was exercised in its entirety, the Corporation would realize gross proceeds from the offering of approximately \$27,301,000.

DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS

GENERAL

Since completion of the Qualifying Transaction, the principal business activity of the Corporation has been and continues to be the exploration of its portfolio of early stage uranium exploration, principally the Rook I Project and the Radio Project, each located in the Athabasca Basin of Saskatchewan.

The Corporation's strategic objective is to progress exploration at its Rook I Project and Radio Project and to maximize shareholder returns through capital appreciation.

RADIO OPTION AGREEMENT

As previously stated, the Radio Option Agreement (as amended) grants NexGen the exclusive option to acquire a 70% interest in the Radio Project in exchange for a combination of cash, common shares and minimum exploration expenditures. NexGen has satisfied all payment/share issuance obligations in order to earn the 70% interest in the Radio Project, other than the obligation to incur \$10,000,000 of expenditures by May 31, 2017.

Upon NexGen earning a 70% interest in the Radio Project, NexGen and the Optionors will be deemed to have formed a joint venture with NexGen having an initial 70% interest therein and the Optionors having an initial 30% interest. The Optionors' 30% interest shall be free carried until the commencement of commercial production after which all costs and expenses (other than those incurred in connection with an expansion in respect of which the Optionors shall be free carried) shall be *pro rata* to the parties' respective interest in the joint venture.

The Radio Option Agreement provides that the Optionors shall retain a 2% net smelter royalty and a 2% gross overriding royalty on production from the property, calculated in accordance with the Radio Option Agreement.

The Radio Option Agreement also provides that until the earlier of May 31, 2015 and the exercise by NexGen of its right to acquire a 70% interest in the Radio Project, the Optionors shall: (i) vote any common shares held by them at duly called shareholders' meetings of NexGen in favour of management nominees and any other matters proposed by management of NexGen; (ii) not tender to, or vote in favour of, any change of control transaction that is not supported by the NexGen board of directors unless such change of control transaction is supported by at least 50% of NexGen shareholders; and (iii) not tender or transfer any shares of NexGen other than through ordinary course sales through the TSXV or pursuant to a take-over bid or other offer made generally to all NexGen shareholders.

MEGA AGREEMENT

Pursuant to the Mega Agreement, for so long as Mega holds at least 10% of the total issued and outstanding Old NexGen common shares, Mega has the right: (i) to nominate the greater of one director and that number of directors of Old NexGen which is proportionate to its equity common shareholdings in Old NexGen; and (ii) to participate on a *pro rata* basis in any issuance or sale by Old NexGen of Old NexGen common shares or securities capable of being converted into or exercisable or exchangeable for Old NexGen common shares or other securities of Old NexGen, pursuant to any public or private financing transaction undertaken for capital raising purposes.

As previously stated, on December 28, 2012, Old NexGen acquired a portfolio of uranium properties, including the Rook I Project and the NW Athabasca Project, from Mega, pursuant to the terms of the Mega Agreement.

EXPLORATION

Rook I Project

After acquiring the Rook I project in December 2012, NexGen completed a ground gravity survey, a ground DC resistivity survey on a small grid and an airborne magnetic-radiometric-VLF EM survey, the results of which refined previous targets and introduced new target areas.

The first phase summer drill program totalling 3,032 metres on the Rook I Project was also completed in 2013. Specifically, hole RK-13-05 intersected uranium mineralization, while two other holes intersected anomalous uranium and thorium values.

In January 2014, a two drill rig, 7,442 metre program (6,000 metres originally contemplated) on the Rook I Project was completed. The program focused on targets generated from the results of the 2013 summer drill program and those targets identified from detailed geophysical surveys of the Rook I Project area described above.

In February 2014, anomalous radioactivity was intersected in multiple intervals within the first hole (RK-14-21, which was subsequently changed to AR-14-21) at the "Arrow" zone. All radiometric anomalous zones in core were scanned with an Exploration GR-135 spectrometer, and this confirmed that all radiometric activity was due to uranium (with minimal or no thorium input). Assay results confirmed the high grade nature of the uranium mineralization with drill hole AR-14-08 (previously named RK-14-30).

In May 2014, a three drill rig, 18,886 metre program (15,000 metres originally contemplated) was initiated to follow up on uranium mineralization at the Arrow zone, and also to test other promising regional targets on Rook I. Drill hole AR-14-30 at the Arrow zone was the highlight of the summer program as the Corporation believes that it is one of the best drill holes in the Athabasca Basin, which featured 63.5 metres of 7.54% U₃O₈ within the high grade core of the A2 shear.

Additional airborne VTEM and ground gravity surveys were carried out at Rook I from October to December 2014 to better define targets for the winter 2015 drill program.

In January 2015, a four drill rig, 21,708 metre program (18,000 metres originally contemplated) commenced to further test the Arrow zone, and other prospective regional drill targets. A radon in lake water survey on Patterson Lake to the northeast and along trend from the Arrow zone was conducted and successfully identified multiple high priority targets including the Bow discovery. Drill hole AR-15-34b further expanded the high grade core of the A2 shear with 70.0 metres of 2.2% U₃O₈, and AR-15-44b increased the high grade core of the A2 shear to 88 metres with 39.5 metres of total composite off-scale (>10,000 cps); assays pending. Drill hole AR-15-39 discovered the high grade core of the A3 shear with 15.85 metres of total composite off-scale (>10,000 cps); assays pending. The A3 shear was previously unknown to host significant off-scale mineralization.

During the winter 2015 drill program, regional testing of coincident radon in lake water and VTEM conductors 3.7 kilometres to the northeast and along trend from Arrow resulted in the discovery of "Bow" with drill hole BO-15-10 that returned 2.5 metres of total composite mineralization including 0.10 metres of off-scale (>10,000 cps).

Radio Project

During 2012, detailed ground gravity and resistivity surveys were completed in respect of the Radio Project, on a 200 metre line separation oriented N-S, from which ten priority targets requiring detailed drilling were identified.

During 2013, the first recorded drill program was completed at the Radio Project. Drilling started on June 30, 2013 and was completed on July 22, 2013, with 3,472.9 metres drilled over nine holes. The multi-suite

geochemistry from all holes at the Radio Project indicated locally elevated uranium consistent with some structural disturbances and localized hydrothermal alteration. As such, at the date hereof, the Corporation has allocated its resources to further exploration of its Rook 1 Project. The Corporation expects to recommence exploration at the Radio Project once its technical and financial resources allow.

PRINCIPAL PRODUCTS

The Corporation is in the mineral exploration business, and does not have any marketable products at this time and is not distributing any products at this time. In addition, the Corporation does not know when or if the properties will reach development stage and if so, what the estimated costs would be to reach commercial production.

COMPETITIVE CONDITIONS

The mineral exploration business is a competitive business. The Corporation competes with numerous other companies and individuals who may have greater financial resources in the search for and the acquisition of personnel, contractors, funding and attractive mineral properties. As a result of this competition, the Corporation may be unable to obtain additional capital or other types of financing on acceptable terms or at all, acquire properties of interest or retain qualified personnel and/or contractors.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

The Corporation's exploration activities are subject to various levels of federal, provincial and state laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. Due to the early stage of the Corporation's activities, environmental protection requirements have had a minimal impact on the Corporation's capital expenditures and competitive position. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which will require stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. If needed, the Corporation will make and will continue to make expenditures to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations. New environmental laws and regulations, amendments to existing laws and regulations, or more stringent implementations of existing laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation by potentially increasing capital and/or operating costs.

EMPLOYEES

As at December 31, 2014, the Corporation had 14 employees and 1 contractor.

SPECIALIZED SKILLS AND KNOWLEDGE

The Corporation's business requires specialized skill and knowledge in the areas of geology, mineral exploration, business negotiations, accounting and management. To date, the Corporation has been able to locate and retain such employees and consultants and believes it will continue to be able to do so. See "Risk Factors – Reliance upon Key Management and Other Personnel" below.

DETAILS OF THE ROOK I PROJECT – SUMMARY OF ROOK I TECHNICAL REPORT

J. Allan McNutt, prepared a technical report entitled "Technical Report on the Rook I Property, Saskatchewan, Canada" dated effective February 28, 2014 (the "**Rook I Technical Report**"). Mr. McNutt is a "qualified person" under National Instrument 43-101-*Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects* ("**NI 43-101**"). The following description of the Rook I Project has been summarized from the Rook I Technical Report and readers should consult the Rook I Technical Report to obtain further particulars regarding the Radio Project. The Rook I Technical Report is available for review under the Corporation's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

All scientific and technical information in this summary, and in the section above "General Development of the Business – Exploration – Rook I Project" to the date of the Rook I Technical Report has been reviewed and approved by Mr. McNutt who is a qualified person under NI 43-101.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

The Rook I Project is located in Northern Saskatchewan, approximately 40 kilometres (km) east of the Saskatchewan – Alberta border, approximately 150 km north of the town of La Loche and 550 km north northwest of the City of Prince Albert. The Rook I Project covers parts of National Topographic System ("NTS") map sheets 74F07, 74F10 and 74F11.

The Rook I Project consists of nine contiguous mineral dispositions (claims) totalling 35,061 hectares. NexGen acquired the Rook I Project in December 2012 and has a 100% interest in the claims subject only to: (i) a 2% net smelter return royalty ("NSR"); and (ii) a 10% production carried interest, in each case, only on claim S-108095. The NSR may be reduced to 1% upon payment of C\$1 million. The 10% production carried interest provides for the owner to be carried to the date of commercial production. There are no other underlying interests, payments, back-in rights or other agreements on the Rook I Project, other than those on S-108095.

The mineral dispositions that make up the Rook I Project have an effective date of between March 17, 2005 and March 17, 2008, and are in good standing until between May 13, 2014 and May 13, 2022. In order to keep the dispositions in good standing, the claim holder must undertake prescribed minimum exploration work. The current requirement for the Rook I dispositions is \$15 per hectare per year.

In order to explore a property, the owner must be registered in the Province and the requisite permits must be acquired. To carry out exploration on the ground, the following permits are required: (i) a surface exploration permit; (ii) a forest product permit; and (iii) an aquatic habitat protection permit. Drill programs also require a term water rights permit from the Saskatchewan Watershed Authority and notice must be given to Saskatchewan Environment, the Heritage Resource Branch and the Water Security Agency.

There are no known environmental liabilities on the Rook I Project, dating from either prior to or after NexGen's acquisition. The author is not aware of any other significant factors or risks which might affect access, title, or the right or ability to perform work on the Rook I Project.

ACCESSIBILITY, CLIMATE, LOCAL RESOURCES, INFRASTRUCTURE AND PHYSIOGRAPHY

Access

The western boundary of the Rook I Project is 4 to 10 km east of gravel Highway 955 which is maintained year round. From Highway 955 there are several driveable drill roads and trails that provide access to much of the Rook I Project in the winter, less so during summer months. Fixed wing aircraft on floats can land on lakes on and near the Rook I Project. Other parts of the Rook I Project can be accessed by helicopter.

The nearest population centre to the Rook I Project is La Loche, Saskatchewan, which can be accessed by vehicles using gravel Highway 955, which is maintained year round.

Climate

The Rook I Project has a sub-Arctic climate typical of mid-latitude continental areas. Temperatures range from greater than +30°C in the summer to colder than –40°C during the winter. Winters are long and cold, with mean monthly temperatures of below freezing for seven months. Annual precipitation is approximately 0.5 m with half of this as rain during the warmer months and the remainder as 70 to 100 centimetres (cm) of snow. Freeze up normally starts in October and breakup occurs in April. Exploration can be carried out year round, although ground access is affected by freeze up and breakup.

Local Resources and Infrastructure

There is little in the way of local resources at the Rook I Project. Food, fuel and supplies needed for exploration are available at La Loche, and 150 km to the south of La Loche at Buffalo Narrows, which also has fixed wing float planes for charter. There is chronic underemployment in La Loche and Buffalo Narrows and much of the labour force is not well trained or educated.

There is also little local infrastructure. There is a power line leading to the Cluff Lake mine site; however, the amount of power available for a new mining operation is not known. The Rook I Project has sufficient space for an open pit or underground mining operation including space for waste rock piles and tailings facilities. Water is readily available.

Any surface facilities and mine workings constructed would be located on Provincial lands. The right to use and occupy Provincial lands is acquired under a surface lease from the Province of Saskatchewan.

Physiography

The Rook I Project area lies in an area of generally subdued topography with 10 to 30 metres (m) of relief, although there are some drumlins which rise 40 to 50 m above lake level. Outcrops are rare because of the generally thick glacial deposits. The elevation of Patterson Lake is 504 metres above sea level. The forest cover is mostly jackpine in the higher sandy areas and spruce, tamarack and some willows in the lower, poorly drained areas. Ground cover includes reindeer lichen and Labrador tea. Much of the project area has been burned by forest fires during the last 15 years.

HISTORY

Recorded exploration in and around the dispositions comprising the Rook I Project commenced in 1968. From 1968 to 1970 these companies flew airborne magnetic and radiometric surveys and carried out prospecting and geochemical sampling. They found little to warrant continued work and dropped their permits in the early 1970's. The next recorded work was by Uranerz Exploration and Mining Ltd. on the Inexo Permits 1 and 2 which covered the Rook I Project. In 1974, they completed geological mapping, prospecting, lake sediment sampling and a helicopter borne radiometric survey; they too found nothing to warrant further work.

In 1976 and 1977, Canadian Occidental Petroleum Ltd. ("**Canoxy**") held claims (CBS 4745, 4756, 4747, 4748) covering most of the area of current dispositions S-108095, S-110931 and S110932, Houston Oil and Gas Ltd. held one claim (CBS 5680) covering part of claim S-110575, Hudson Bay Exploration and Development Company Ltd. ("**HBED**") held two small claims covering S-110933 and Kerr Addison Mines Ltd. ("**Kerr**") held claims covering S-110573 and S-110574. Saskatchewan Mining and Development Corp. ("**SMDC**", now Cameco) held MPP 1076 (later CBS 8807) which covered part of S-108095.

From 1976 to 1982 these companies completed airborne INPUT EM surveys which detected numerous conductors, many of which were subject to ground surveys prior to drilling. Airborne magnetic-radiometric surveys were also done and followed up by prospecting, geological mapping, lake sediment surveys and some soil and rock geochemical sampling. Few anomalies were found other than those located by the airborne and ground EM surveys.

From 1980 to 1982, SMDC drilled 13 holes, PAT-01 to PAT-13 on what is now S-108095. PAT-04 intersected weak uranium mineralization (171 parts per million of uranium (ppm U) over 1.0 m) in highly altered basement rocks just below the unconformity at 97 m. Drill hole PAT-13 intersected 64 ppm U over a 9 m interval just below the unconformity from 110 m to 119 m. The mineralization and alteration were reported to be similar to that seen at unconformity associated uranium deposits in the Athabasca Basin.

To the east, Kerr drilled 24 holes from 1977 to 1979 on what is now S-110573 and S-110574. No significant alteration or mineralization was intersected. Drilling was reported as difficult due to thick glacial till and poorly consolidated sandstone.

HBED drilled two holes in 1982 on claims which cover part of what is now S-110933. The holes hit graphitic gneisses but no radioactivity.

Canoxy reported drilling 41 holes from 1978 to 1980 but only 27 of these are on current dispositions comprising the Rook I Project. Drilling did not intersect any uranium mineralization but did intersect thick glacial till deposits, basement regolith (likely Athabasca sandstone related) and structures. The basement rocks were quartz-feldspar-biotite gneisses, with lesser quartz rich gneisses, garniferous pyroxene granulites and graphitic basement gneisses which were often sheared and brecciated. Granitic and granodioritic gneisses were also intersected.

After 1982 exploration waned in the western part of the Athabasca Basin and companies allowed their claims to lapse as they came due. There is little work recorded in the assessment files from 1982 to 2000.

Titan Uranium Inc. ("**Titan**") purchased disposition S-108095 in June 2005 from 455702 B.C. Ltd. and 643990 B.C. Ltd. Titan staked the balance of the dispositions in February 2007 and in March 2008. Titan carried out airborne EM surveys, MegaTEM and VTEM, which detected numerous strong EM anomalies. A ground MaxMin II survey in 2008 confirmed the airborne anomalies.

Pursuant to a mineral property acquisition agreement between Mega and Titan dated February 1, 2012, Mega acquired all nine dispositions comprising the Rook I Project. A gravity survey was completed over 60% of S-108095 and S-110931, which defined several regional features and some more local smaller scale features. At the same time Mega undertook sampling of organic rich soils and prospecting in the same area as the gravity survey. No soil geochemical anomalies or radioactive boulders were found.

NexGen acquired Mega's interest in the claims now comprising the Rook I Project pursuant to an asset purchase agreement dated November 14, 2012.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

Regional Geological Setting

The Rook I Project lies along the south-western rim of the Athabasca Basin and straddles the Athabasca/crystalline basement unconformity.

The Athabasca Sandstone Basin is a large sedimentary basin which covers most of Northern Saskatchewan. The majority of the basin consists of unmetamorphosed siliciclastic conglomeratic sandstone which is variably hematitic or limonitic. In the Cluff Lake area, the meteoritic structural uplift has exposed shales and dolostones. At the centre of the present day basin, the Athabasca Group is about 1,500 m thick. The sandstones were deposited during the period of 1760 to 1500 million years (Ma) ago. The intrusion of northwest trending diabase dikes and sills has been dated at 1267 Ma. At the unconformity between the crystalline basement rocks and the overlying Athabasca sandstones, a paleoweathering profile may be present. Most "basement" rocks to the Athabasca Group show lateritic weathering: a thin bleached zone at the Athabasca unconformity then hematite stained (red zone) weathered metamorphic rocks grading down to a green zone where mafic minerals have been altered to chlorite.

The southwest part of the Athabasca Group is overlain by flat lying Phanerozoic rocks of the Western Canada Sedimentary basin comprised of mudstones, siltstones and sandstones.

These in turn are covered by extensive Pleistocene glacial deposits derived from the relatively soft Athabasca sandstones. Ice flow was generally from the northeast to the southwest and in general the thickness of the glacial tills increases towards the southwest. There are extensive moraine and ablation

deposits, numerous drumlin fields and large esker systems and areas of outwash deposits. The tills are sandy with little clay and composed of eroded sandstone and conglomerates.

Local and Rook I Project Geology

There are few outcrops known on the Rook I Project and the geological interpretation is based primarily on drill hole information and interpretation of airborne and ground geophysical surveys. The oldest rocks on and around the Rook I Project are the orthogneisses and paragneisses of the Lloyd Domain. The orthogneisses are normally granodioritic to dioritic in composition. The paragneisses include quartzites, quartz-rich to quartz-poor feldspathic biotite gneisses, and biotite rich pelitic gneisses which may contain graphite. All have been strongly deformed and are usually steeply dipping and trend northeasterly. These rocks have been metamorphosed to granulite and upper amphibolite grade and blue quartz is common.

Overlying the basement rocks is a thin sequence of flat lying Athabasca Group sandstones. Most of the Rook I Project lies south of the Athabasca unconformity; sandstone seen in core appears to be of the Smart Formation, a uniform quartz arenite with minor quartz pebbles. This unit can be overlain by members of the Manitou Falls Formation which are pebbly quartz arenites, with some members having 1% or more of clay clasts. Developed in the basement rocks below the Athabasca sandstone is a paleoweathered zone where minerals have been altered to clays; feldspars to kaolinite or illite, mafic minerals to chlorites. Hematite is normally present but decreases down hole. The paleoweathered zone can be thin at a few m or may be well developed where chlorite alteration persists downwards for more than 100 m.

The Phanerozoic rocks in the Rook I Project area may include the Devonian La Loche Formation, which consists of breccias of arkosic and conglomeratic sandstones which may have granules to pebbles of older lithologies, including pitchblende clasts. The La Loche Formation is usually less than 10 m thick and has not been observed in the drill holes completed to date. Also present at the west end of the Rook I Project are Cretaceous Mannville Group non-marine to marine shales and sandstones with thicknesses of up to 30 m encountered in drill hole. Boulders of these commonly occur. Some coaly beds in the Mannville Group are uraniferous.

Blanketing the Rook I Project and surrounding area are Pleistocene glacial deposits composed of sand and Athabasca sandstone boulders and some boulders on Mannville Group shales. Northeast to east-northeast trending drumlins are common as are outwash and hummocky terrain. The glacial deposits are typically at least 30 m thick and may be as thick as 100 m.

EXPLORATION

After acquiring the Rook I Project in December 2012, NexGen carried out exploration consisting of a ground gravity survey, a ground DC Resistivity on a small grid in the western end of the Rook I Project and an airborne magnetic-radiometric-VLF EM survey. Following these surveys, a drilling program tested several targets on the resistivity grid in 2013.

Drilling continued in 2014 testing in the vicinity of RK-13-05 which intersected low grade uranium mineralization, testing other targets defined by geophysics and following up on mineralization intersected in AR-14-01 (previously named RK-14-21).

The gravity survey was completed over the lakes in the west end of the Rook I Project. The gravity survey was completed on NexGen's behalf by Discovery Geophysics International Inc. The readings have a spacing of 50 m along lines 200 m apart. Stations were located by differential GPS with accuracies of 1 cm horizontal and 2 cm vertical. For the geology in the survey area and the expected glacial till thickness, the survey spacing is quite detailed. The residual gravity for the Mega and NexGen surveys shows what are interpreted as larger more regional trends on which are smaller more localized features. These smaller features (gravity highs or lows) can be caused by many different geological settings including alteration haloes, changes in till thicknesses and topography.

A ground DC Resistivity survey was completed over the very west end of S-110931 on the resistivity grid with line spacing of 200 m, using a pole-dipole array. The pole-dipole array surveys used a primary 'a' spacing of 50m and n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 and reading secondary n = 0.5, 1.5, 2.5, 3.5, 4.5, 5.5, 6.5 and 7.5 (total 16 n levels) by stepping the current pole by one-half 'a' or 25 m. The estimated depth of penetration is about 225 m. The NexGen resistivity survey confirmed the airborne EM anomalies as basement features, probably due to graphitic horizons. The survey also defined a near surface flat lying horizon which is interpreted as the organic rich Mannville shales.

Goldak Airborne Surveys was contracted by NexGen to fly a high resolution magnetic gradiometer – radiometric – VLF EM survey over the Rook I Project. The survey was completed in August 2013 with 3,491 line km flown. The EM data confirmed the surveys flown in previous years and the magnetic data sharpens the image available from the government surveys which had wider line spacing (200 m versus 400 m).

It is the author's opinion that the methodology, sample density and instrumentation used to complete the NexGen surveys above are the norm, or better for surveys completed in the Athabasca Basin.

In August, September and October of 2013 NexGen completed 3,032 m of drilling over 13 holes. In 2014, NexGen began drilling on January 17 and by February 28, had completed 3,217 m over 9 holes.

MINERALIZATION

Mineralization is known to occur in three areas on the Rook I Project but no mineralized zone has been defined. SMDC intersected weak mineralization (171 ppm U over 1.0 m) at the unconformity (depth of 107 m) in drill hole PAT-04 in 1980. The uranium values occurred at or just below the unconformity in fractured, slickensided and sometimes brecciated sandstone and basement quartz-feldspar-biotite +/- graphite paragneisses with compositions ranging from psammitic to pelitic. Quartzite was also noted in several holes. Basement rocks are described as strongly bleached and clay altered. A total of 13 holes were drilled in the area (PAT-01 to 13) in 1980 and 1982. Mineralization was weak, structurally controlled and erratic. While no continuity could be established, the alteration and host rocks described are similar to what is seen in unconformity associated uranium deposits elsewhere in the Athabasca Basin.

Drilling by NexGen in 2013 intersected weak unconformity style uranium mineralization in drill hole RK-13-05: 330 ppm U over 4.0 m (or 517 ppm U over 1 m from 220.5 to 221.5 m). The mineralization occurs within a 29 m wide shear zone with faults, fractures, a variety of veining and breccias. Alteration features include silicification, clays, hematite, chlorite, and desilicification. Visible pitchblende was identified at a down-hole depth of 220.5-220.8 m, within strongly altered and hematized breccia. The host rocks are garnetiferous quartz-plagioclase-biotite gneiss with minor graphite.

In 2014, hole RK 14-21 intersected several zones of strong radioactivity (to > 9,999 counts per second (cps) using a hand held Exploranium scintillometer) with disseminations and nodules of uranium minerals in fault breccias and in brecciated quartz feldspar biotite graphite gneiss with carbonate hematite veins. Strong clay and chlorite alteration occurs with mineralized areas, which includes several narrow shears in the same hole.

DRILLING

2013 Drilling

In August, September and October of 2013 NexGen completed 3,032.2 m of diamond drilling over 13 holes. The contractor was Guardian Drilling Corp. who utilized two rigs, a track mounted drill and a conventional heli-portable drill rig. The two rigs were supported by helicopter for most of the drill campaign.

Details of the 13 drill holes are set out below:

Table 2 Drill Hole Information

DDH	UTM Azimuth	Elevation	UTM East	UTM North	Dip	Unconformity Depth	EOH	Probe Peak cps	Depth
RK-13-01	300	520	602547	6390917	-80	56.0	212.0	164	99.1
RK-13-02	360	518	602386	6390735	-90	53.3	152.0	140	60.1
RK-13-03	300	514	602677	6390818	-65	48.7	248.0	1144	150.2
RK-13-04	300	532	602203	6391054	-75	76.3	251.0	196	205.2
RK-13-05	300	532	601672	6390876	-75	78.4	308.0	4508	220.8
RK-13-06	300	539	601261	6391294	-75	86.7	260.0	2297	152.6
RK-13-07	300	531	601988	6390932	-75	68.0	233.0	541	189.8
RK-13-08	300	532	601121	6391149	-75	82.6	293.0	413	274
RK-13-09	350	541	601301	6390869	-75	95.0	272.0	344	109
RK-13-10	345	539	600873	6391153	-75	81.9	239.0	250	149
RK-13-11	300	532	601551	6391151	-65	n/a	86.0	n/a	n/a
RK-13-12	300	547	602040	6391803	-75	98.7	275.0	328	256.1
RK-13-13	300	533	602600	6391525	-75	88.9	203.2	402	158.5

**** All UTM coordinates are in NAD 83, Zone 12**

**** All depths are in metres**

Most of the holes intersected semipelitic to pelitic (+/- graphite) gneisses, clay-chlorite-hematite alteration and structures, the last ranging from fracture zones to fault gouge to breccias to shears. Despite the structures, core recovery in most holes was very good. Only one hole was lost, RK-13-11. It appears that the radioactive zones identified in probing had good recovery rates.

2014 Drilling

The winter 2014 drill program commenced on January 17, 2014 and to the end of February, when the Rook I Technical Report was prepared, NexGen had drilled 3,217m over 9 holes. Aggressive Drilling Ltd. of Saskatoon, Saskatchewan performed the drilling on behalf of NexGen, using two drills.

The holes tested three target areas defined by geophysical information and the results of the 2013 drill program.

The first hole in Target Area C, AR-14-01 (previously RK-14-21), discovered the Arrow zone with significant radioactivity, to >9,999 cps in a wide structure. Five holes (RK-14-14, -15, -16, -18, -19) were drilled in the vicinity of RK-13-05 which intersected a narrow zone of uranium mineralization. Follow-up holes however intersected only minor amounts of radioactivity. Three widely spaced holes (RK-14-17, -20, -22) tested Target Area D. The holes intersected only minor radioactivity.

DDH	Azimuth	Elevation (masl)	UTM E	UTM N	Dip	Hole Length	Probe Peak (cps)	Depth (m)
RK-14-14	330	533	601624	6390894	-75	292	288	93
RK-14-15	330	532	601678	6390881	-75	306	703	196
RK-14-16	330	532	601600	6390835	-75	273	324	181
RK-14-17	330	537	604772	6390254	-70	300	97	253
RK-14-18	330	532	601665	6390835	-75	453	1030	222
RK-14-19	345	541	601325	6390815	-75	267	212	121
RK-14-20	330	557	604233	6389996	-70	315	724	164
RK-14-21	148	527	604563	6393835	-75	663	>9999	519.1
RK-14-22	330	559	604098	6389599	-70	348	1262	310
all lengths in metres						3217		
all UTM coordinates in NAD 83, Zone 12								

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

Sampling Methods

PIMA spectrometer samples (10 cm piece of core) were taken in each hole at nominal 5 m spacing. Some samples were taken for specific reasons, (ie. to identify particular minerals). Samples were sent to Rekasa Rocks Inc. for analysis. Results show that, in general, illite has the same or higher abundance than kaolinite and in more highly altered rocks illite is much more abundant. The chlorites ranged from magnesium (Mg) rich to iron (Fe)>Mg to Mg>Fe composition with Mg rich chlorites being more common in samples from structures (breccia, sheared material, fractures). Of note was the intensive dravite breccias at the Arrow zone.

Three types of samples were taken for geochemical analysis: (i) point samples taken at nominal spacing of 5 m and meant to be representative of the interval or of a particular rock unit; (ii) composite samples of 1 cm at the end of each core box row over 10 m intervals; and (iii) where there is elevated radioactivity, 0.5 m samples over the anomalous interval and for one or two m beyond the radioactivity. Analyses noted for the drill holes below are for uranium (U) and thorium (Th) using total digestion, ICP-MS at the SRC Facility.

Three samples of coarse crushed mineralized material were also analyzed by Quantitative Evaluation of Materials by Scanning Electron Microscopy at the SCR Facility to determine detailed mineralogy, especially of radioactive material. Two samples were from hole RK-13-05, and one from hole RK-13-06. In all three samples, uraninite is present as fine-grained intergrowths typically associated with sulphides. Both samples from hole RK-13-05 also contained an altered titanium-rich uraninite mineral that was intergrown and associated with rutile. The sample from hole RK-13-06 contained a complex calcium and Th-bearing phosphate mineral associated with a Th-bearing monazite and an APS mineral (aluminum phosphate-sulphate) mineral.

The geologists and technicians sampling the core make every effort to select point samples that are representative and, when core splitting, every effort is made to ensure pieces of core are split in half.

Sample Preparation

As the hole is being drilled, the contractor personnel, box the core at the drill and screw on lids. Only the contractor and NexGen geological staff are authorized to be at the drill site and at the logging facility. Core is chosen for analysis based on radioactivity. Shoulder samples are taken above and below the radioactive zone. Non-radioactive structures, alteration and lithologies are also sampled to improve knowledge of the rocks being cored and to provide background geological and geochemical data. Samples are also taken so as to avoid having more than one lithology in any given sample. These geological samples are noted as "point" samples and a 10 cm sample is taken at a specific point, usually 5 to 10 m apart.

Core is transported to the logging facility at the end of each shift. The NexGen geologists log the core for lithology, structures, alteration and mineralization. Geological technicians and geologists also log the core in detail for core recovery, rock quality determination, fracture count, magnetic susceptibility and radioactivity. NexGen personnel perform wet and dry density measurements on core using standard laboratory techniques.

On site sample preparation consists of core splitting by geological technicians under the supervision of NexGen geologists. All other sample preparation is done by the independent laboratories.

For core to be sampled by splitting, the geologist marks the sample intervals on the core, records sample intervals within the sample ticket book, then staples sample number tags from the sample ticket book to the core box where the interval begins. The core is then marked for sampling and is photographed wet and dry. The core is then split lengthwise using a mechanical knife-type core splitting tool. Every attempt is made to ensure an even split. Intervals of poorly lithified core (i.e. clay altered) are split using stainless steel kitchen utensils. One half of the core is placed in plastic sample bags pre-marked with the sample number along with a sample number tag from the sample ticket book. The other half is returned to the core box and stored at the core storage area located near the logging facility. The core splitter and sample collection pans are cleaned before the next sample is split. The bags containing the split samples are then placed in buckets with lids for transport to the Saskatchewan Research Council Geoanalytical Laboratory facility ("**SRC Facility**") in Saskatoon, Saskatchewan which is an independent laboratory. Samples are trucked to SRC by NexGen employees.

NexGen inserts blanks, duplicates and standards into the analytical stream. In particular, NexGen inserted (i) field "blank" samples at every 20th odd numbered sample (i.e. ending with "xxx10", "xxx30", etc.); and (ii) field "duplicate" samples at every 20th even numbered sample (i.e. ending with "xxx20", "xxx40", etc.) for all three sample types.

Sample Analysis

The SRC Facility in Saskatoon crushes each sample to 60% -10 mesh and then riffle split to a 200 gram (g) sample with the remainder retained as coarse reject. The 200 g sample is then ground to 90% -140 mesh. All samples were analyzed at the SRC Facility by ICP-OES/MS for a suite of elements including U and Th. The latter were analyzed by both partial and total digestion techniques. Replicates are chosen at random and an additional 200 g sample is riffle split and ground to 90% -140 mesh. For total digestion analysis, a 0.125 g pulp is gently heated in a mixture of ultrapure HF/HNO₃/HClO₄ until dry and the residue dissolved in dilute ultrapure HNO₃. For the partial digestion analysis, a 0.500 g pulp is digested with 2.25 ml of 8:1 ultrapure HNO₃/HCl for 1 hour at 95°C. The solutions are then analyzed by Inductively Coupled Plasma analysis. For boron, a 0.1 g pulp is fused at 650°C in a mixture of Na₂O₂/Na₂CO₃. The SRC Facility routinely runs standards and duplicates as part of their quality assurance and control program.

With each batch of samples run, the SRC Facility inserts, at a minimum, a duplicate from the batch and a quality control standard of its own. NexGen has also instructed the SRC Facility to run one coarse reject duplicate with every batch of twenty samples.

Selected check samples from holes RK-13-05 and -06 were analyzed by neutron activation analysis and by direct neutron counting for U and Th at Becquerel Laboratories Inc. Results agreed with the original ICP-OES/MS values from the SRC Facility to within <10%, validating NexGen's quality assurance and control procedures.

It is believed that the SCR Facility's quality assurance and control methods are adequate for this early stage of exploration.

SECURITY OF SAMPLES

Core samples are trucked to the SRC Facility by NexGen employees. Results from the analyses are delivered by email directly to NexGen's exploration office in Saskatoon and the signed paper assay certificates are mailed. The protocol for core handling and access from drill to the sampling and logging facility to the sample buckets has been described in the "Sample Preparation and Sample Analysis" sections above. It appears to be adequate for early stage exploration.

It is the author's opinion that the methods of sample preparation, security and analytical procedures are adequate given the early stage of exploration at the Rook 1 Project.

DETAILS OF THE ROOK I PROJECT – SUBSEQUENT EXPLORATION

Garrett Ainsworth, BSc. PGeo., the Company's Vice President, Exploration & Development, is the "qualified person" under NI 43-101 who has supervised the preparation of the technical information in this section and above, under "General Development of the Business – Exploration Rook I Project" relating to subsequent exploration on the Rook I Project after the effective date of the Rook I Technical Report.

Completion of 2014 Winter Program

The 2014 winter drill program, which commenced in January 2014, was completed by March 20, 2014 with 7,422m in 17 holes. During the winter 2014 program, the Arrow zone was discovered by hole AR-14-01 (previously RK-14-21) intersected several zones of strong radioactivity (to >10,000 counts per second (cps) using a hand held Exploranium scintillometer) with disseminations and nodules of uranium minerals in fault breccias and in brecciated semipelitic to graphitic pelitic gneiss with carbonate-hematite veins. Strong clay and chlorite alteration occurs with mineralized areas, which includes several narrow shears in the same hole. Further drilling has encountered significant mineralization at Arrow with 30 out of 32 drill holes intersecting high grade uranium.

In 2015, the Arrow zone remains open in all directions with 515 metres strike length, 215 metre width, and 820 metre vertical extent. Two high grade cores within the A2 and A3 shears has been established and also remain open. Additionally, drill hole BO-15-10 intersected 2.5 metres of total composite mineralization including 0.10 metres of off-scale, which has been established as the Bow Discovery; located 3.7 kilometres northeast and along trend from the Arrow zone.

Details of the 17 drill holes are set out below:

DDH	Target Area	Date Start	Date Complete	Dip	TN Azim	Elevation	UTM E	UTM N	Grid E	Grid N
RK-14-14	A	1/17/2014	1/28/2014	-75	330	539.3	601624	6390894	450W	L200N
RK-14-15	A	1/19/2014	1/24/2014	-75	330	538.4	601678	6390881	400W	214N
RK-14-16	A	1/25/2014	1/29/2014	-75	330	538.5	601600	6390835	455W	137N
RK-14-17	Dagger	1/29/2014	2/5/2014	-70	330	524.6	604772	6390254	N/A	N/A
RK-14-18	A	1/31/2014	2/5/2014	-75	330	538.8	601665	6390835	395W	165N
RK-14-19	A	2/6/2014	2/8/2014	-75	345	538.2	601325	6390815	610W	L0
RK-14-20	Dagger	2/6/2014	2/10/2014	-70	330	541.0	604233	6389996	N/A	N/A
RK-14-21	Arrow	2/12/2014	2/21/2014	-75	148	524.1	604563	6393835	N/A	N/A
RK-14-22	Dagger	2/13/2014	2/16/2014	-70	330	544.2	604098	6389599	N/A	N/A
RK-14-23	A	2/17/2014	2/18/2014	-75	325	541.4	602871	6391668	N/A	N/A
RK-14-24	Arrow	2/20/2014	2/25/2014	-70	130	519.8	604583	6393897	N/A	N/A
RK-14-25	Arrow	2/22/2014	3/3/2014	-60	145	524.1	604563	6393835	N/A	N/A
RK-14-26	Arrow	2/26/2014	3/5/2014	-75	50	533.2	604605	6393773	N/A	N/A
RK-14-27	Arrow	3/4/2014	3/11/2014	-70	140	523.9	604525	6393841	N/A	N/A
RK-14-28	Arrow	3/6/2014	3/13/2014	-70	140	544.7	604425	6393665	N/A	N/A
RK-14-29	Arrow	3/12/2014	3/19/2014	-70	130	529.5	604493	6393825	N/A	N/A
RK-14-30	Arrow	3/14/2014	3/20/2014	-70	140	547.0	604378	6393704	N/A	N/A

** All UTM coordinates are in NAD 83, Zone 12 (m)

** All Elevations are reported as m ASL

** All drill holes collared in mineral disposition S-110931

** Grid coordinates apply to 2013 Resistivity Grid

Summer 2014 Drilling

A total of 35 diamond drill holes were drilled for 18,886 m on the Rook I property between May 29 and September 11, 2014 concurrently by three diamond drill rigs. All diamond drilling was performed by Aggressive Drilling Ltd. (Aggressive) of Saskatoon, Saskatchewan. The drill holes were primarily collared to follow up on uranium mineralization intersected at the Arrow zone in the winter of 2014. Regional holes tested a combination of magnetic, electromagnetic, and gravity geophysical features at four target areas on Rook I.

Mineralization at the Arrow zone was defined by 515 m (strike) x 215 m (width) x 630 m (vertical), and was open in all directions. The zone consists at least three steeply dipping and steeply plunging mineralized horizons. Uranium occurs as semi-massive to massive veins, fracture linings and disseminations of pitchblende and coffinite. It is typically associated with hematitization, chloritization, dravite clay breccia veining and pervasive clay alteration. Mineralization typically occurs in close proximity to graphitic shear zones. Redox features including "worm-rock" textures often occur in zones of mineralization. PIMA analyses show that uranium mineralization is closely associated with dravite and sudoite (Mg-chlorite) clay species.

Summer 2014 drill hole summaries follow:

Drill hole	Target Area	Start Date	Completion Date	Disposition	UTM E (m)	UTM N (m)	Elevation (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (°)	Length (m)
AR-14-09	Arrow	2014-05-29	2014-06-07	S-110931	604488	6393786	533	-75	141	750.00
AR-14-10*	Arrow	2014-05-29	2014-06-09	S-110931	604366	6393754	541	-75	140	659.20
AR-14-11	Arrow	2014-06-08	2014-06-17	S-110931	604462	6393752	535	-75	141	723.00
AR-14-12	Arrow	2014-06-10	2014-06-20	S-110931	604223	6393593	543	-76	139	759.00
AR-14-13	Arrow	2014-06-18	2014-06-26	S-110931	604358	6393673	546	-75	143	734.00
AR-14-14	Arrow	2014-06-21	2014-06-29	S-110931	604223	6393593	543	-67	140	699.00
AR-14-15	Arrow	2014-06-27	2014-07-07	S-110931	604536	6393469	561	-71	316	750.00
AR-14-16	Arrow	2014-06-30	2014-07-06	S-110931	604143	6393534	545	-70	140	565.25
AR-14-17	Arrow	2014-07-07	2014-07-13	S-110931	604408	6393762	542	-65	140	531.00
AR-14-18	Arrow	2014-07-08	2014-07-12	S-110931	604395	6393668	544	-66	131	369.00
AR-14-19	Arrow	2014-07-11	2014-07-24	S-110931	604339	6393625	546	-73	140	618.00
AR-14-20	Arrow	2014-07-13	2014-07-19	S-110931	604441	6393737	538	-65	142	516.00

AR-14-21*	Arrow	2014-07-14	2014-07-16	S-110931	604373	6393816	538	-65	160	193.00
AR-14-21a	Arrow	2014-07-16	2014-07-26	S-110931	604373	6393814	538	-63	160	669.80
AR-14-22*	Arrow	2014-07-20	2014-07-25	S-110931	604470	6393688	544	-66	143	364.10
AR-14-23	Arrow	2014-07-26	2014-07-31	S-110931	604543	6393814	524	-66	133	459.00
AR-14-24	Arrow	2014-07-28	2014-08-05	S-110931	604342	6393849	537	-63	139	732.00
AR-14-25	Arrow	2014-08-01	2014-08-09	S-110931	604512	6393864	523	-71	142	769.50
AR-14-26	Arrow	2014-08-06	2014-08-17	S-110931	604315	6393894	535	-63	135	849.00
AR-14-27	Arrow	2014-08-11	2014-08-16	S-110931	604597	6393751	533	-84	323	546.00
AR-14-28	Arrow	2014-08-12	2014-08-28	S-110931	604442	6393791	537	-73	144	825.00
AR-14-29*	Arrow	2014-08-17	2014-08-18	S-110931	604612	6393793	531	-79	232	123.00
AR-14-29a	Arrow	2014-08-19	2014-08-29	S-110931	604610	6393790	532	-81	231	663.00
AR-14-30	Arrow	2014-08-18	2014-08-30	S-110931	604426	6393639	544	-89	229	804.00
AR-14-31	Arrow	2014-08-30	2014-09-08	S-110931	604440	6393649	542	-88	242	672.00
AR-14-32	Arrow	2014-09-01	2014-09-11	S-110931	604412	6393632	546	-89	238	750.75
RK-14-33	Dagger	2014-06-05	2014-06-11	S-110931	604043	6389739	553	-74	333	413.20
RK-14-36	B	2014-06-13	2014-06-18	S-110931	604835	6392151	566	-75	290	345.00
RK-14-38	B	2014-06-19	2014-06-23	S-110931	604454	6392116	561	-75	330	318.00
RK-14-40	B	2014-06-24	2014-06-27	S-110931	604077	6392148	547	-75	320	273.00
RK-14-41	K	2014-06-28	2014-07-02	S-110932	612877	6386482	527	-75	320	309.00
RK-14-42	K	2014-07-04	2014-07-10	S-110932	613401	6386802	530	-60	325	249.00
RK-14-43	A	2014-07-26	2014-08-02	S-110931	602561	6390784	518	-58	307	273.00
RK-14-44	A	2014-08-03	2014-08-06	S-110931	602452	6390840	530	-58	308	270.00
RK-14-45	A	2014-08-07	2014-08-11	S-110931	602346	6390905	534	-59	303	342.00

All coordinates are presented in UTM Zone 12N, NAD 83

*Drill hole abandoned due to deviation or geotechnical issues

Winter 2015 Drilling

A total of 53 diamond drill holes were drilled for 21,708 m on the Rook I property from January to April 2015 with up to four diamond drill rigs. All diamond drilling was performed by Aggressive Drilling Ltd. (Aggressive) of Saskatoon, Saskatchewan. The drill holes were primarily collared to follow up on uranium mineralization intersected at the Arrow zone in the summer of 2014. Regional holes continued to test a combination of magnetic, electromagnetic, and gravity geophysical features at two target areas on Rook I.

Mineralization at the Arrow zone was defined by 515 m (strike) x 215 m (width) x 820 m (vertical, starting from 100m below surface down to 920m), and is open in all directions at depth. The zone consists of at least three steeply dipping and southwest plunging parallel mineralized structures (described as A1, A2, and A3 shears).

Winter 2015 drill hole summaries follow:

Hole ID	Date Drilled		Total	Drill Collar (GPS: UTM NAD 83 Zone 12)			Deviation Survey		
	From	To	Depth (m)	Easting	Northing	Elevation	Az. (corr)	Dip	Depth
AR-15-33	05-Jan-15	17-Jan-15	663.0	604601.90	6393568.08	556.52	328.6	-66.8	162.00
							331.5	-66.1	369.00

							335.5	-65.7	639.00
AR-15-34	05-Jan-15	10-Jan-15	214.9	604516.97	6393445.41	561.83	327.6	-75.3	156.00
							330.3	-75.2	186.00
AR-15-34a	11-Jan-15	13-Jan-15	159.0	604517.95	6393444.21	561.65	327.6	-75.3	156.00
							330.3	-75.2	186.00
AR-15-34b	13-Jan-15	24-Jan-15	798.0	604656.25	6393664.24	538.77	328.4	-67.5	141.00
							331.4	-68.8	360.00
							334.1	-69.2	480.00
							337.7	-68.8	630.00
							335.5	-69.3	780.00
AR-15-35	17-Jan-15	26-Jan-15	660.0	604471.39	6393739.60	534.33	321.9	-74.7	129.00
							322.0	-74.6	279.00
							327.6	-74.6	399.00
							327.9	-74.1	549.00
AR-15-36	18-Jan-15	25-Jan-15	495.0	604474.00	6393742.00	538.00	298.6	-87.2	120.00
							291.7	-86.9	219.00
							289.9	-86.6	339.00
							292.1	-86.6	459.00
AR-15-37	25-Jan-15	3-Feb-15	759.0	604498.17	6393485.31	559.13	326.8	-74.9	156.00
							326.5	-75.3	276.00
							326.0	-75.5	396.00
							329.5	-75.3	516.00
							335.2	-75.3	636.00
							336.4	-74.7	756.00
AR-15-38	26-Jan-15	5-Feb-15	783.0	604483.06	6393420.30	562.43	323.3	-68.8	153.00
							321.5	-69.9	273.00
							323.9	-70.0	393.00
							325.8	-70.4	513.00
							329.2	-69.8	633.00
							327.5	-70.1	783.00
AR-15-39	4-Feb-15	20-Feb-15	987.0	604259.23	6393761.51	536.93	135.9	-71.6	150.00
							139.5	-72.1	270.00
							141.3	-71.6	390.00
							144.8	-72.4	510.00
							144.9	-72.5	750.00
							144.8	-72.0	987.00
AR-15-40	6-Feb-15	8-Feb-15	134.0	604520.34	6393972.53	515.83	147.8	-59.9	123.00
							149.3	-60.0	129.00
AR-15-40a	8-Feb-15	9-Feb-15	120.0	604519.34	6393973.65	515.58	152.0	-60.1	120.00
AR-15-40b	9-Feb-15	21-Feb-15	852.0	604528.87	6393982.53	515.25	137.6	-70.6	117.00
							136.6	-70.3	207.00
							142.0	-68.3	390.00
							145.0	-67.8	510.00
							148.8	-66.8	660.00
							146.5	-64.2	840.00
AR-15-39W1	21-Feb-15	7-Mar-15	983.0	604259.23	6393761.51	536.93	135.9	-71.6	150.00
							144.2	-71.6	267.00

							146.8	-71.8	387.00
							150.2	-72.9	507.00
							155.2	-72.5	627.00
							156.3	-72.5	747.00
							157.4	-72.7	837.00
							159.9	-72.5	927.00
AR-15-41	8-Mar-15	20-Mar-15	885.0	604307.16	6393695.94	546.18	138.1	-74.7	129.00
							139.6	-74.4	219.00
							140.6	-73.5	309.00
							143.9	-73.4	399.00
							146.7	-73.4	489.00
							148.1	-72.3	579.00
							149.8	-70.6	669.00
							152.9	-68.9	756.00
							157.0	-65.8	876.00
AR-15-42	21-Mar-15	22-Mar-15	150.0	604393.17	6393752.12	543.72	128.5	-76.2	147.00
AR-15-42a	21-Mar-15	1-Apr-15	819.0	604393.17	6393752.12	543.72	135.0	-75.1	132.00
							133.6	-75.0	222.00
							133.4	-74.3	282.00
							134.0	-73.1	372.00
							135.0	-72.3	462.00
AR-15-43	2-Apr-15	3-Apr-15	126.0	604351.04	6393729.98	547.37	140.0	-77.0	0.00
							149.5	-79.8	126.00
AR-15-43a	3-Apr-15	14-Apr-15	894.5	604354.19	6393726.60	547.61	145.6	-75.6	117.00
							142.6	-75.1	273.00
							144.6	-75.4	363.00
							148.8	-75.6	453.00
							148.7	-75.1	543.00
							146.9	-75.6	633.00
							145.3	-75.1	723.00
							145.9	-74.9	843.00
AR-15-44	4-Apr-15	5-Apr-15	123.0	604283.03	6393702.96	544.53	140.0	-75.0	0.00
							148.1	-76.9	120.00
							149.3	-76.9	123.00
AR-15-44a	5-Apr-15	5-Apr-15	60.0	604286.76	6393706.22	544.71	140.0	-75.0	0.00
							146.0	-77.5	60.00
AR-15-44b	6-Apr-15	20-Apr-15	1011.0	604293.73	6393698.46	545.36	140.0	-75.0	0.00
							146.8	-76.5	123.00
							148.2	-75.9	222.00
							147.5	-75.5	285.00
AR-15-45	10-Apr-15	11-Apr-15	69.0	604302.64	6393725.19	545.74	140.0	-75.0	0.00
							130.7	-74.8	69.00
AR-15-45a	11-Apr-15	12-Apr-15	60.0	604302.12	6393716.84	546.26	140.0	-75.0	0.00
AR-15-45b	12-Apr-15	23-Apr-15	888.9	604305.17	6393713.08	546.43	140.0	-75.0	0.00
RK-15-46	28-Jan-15	30-Jan-15	187.5	613360.68	6382654.45	510.06	84.9	-59.0	42.00
							84.1	-58.6	102.00
							87.0	-58.7	162.00
RK-15-47	30-Jan-15	31-Jan-15	180.0	613316.85	6382658.18	512.43	86.5	-60.0	60.00

							88.5	-60.4	120.00
							90.6	-58.4	180.00
RK-15-48	1-Feb-15	3-Feb-15	213.0	613217.02	6382971.47	514.61	83.9	-62.5	72.00
							87.6	-61.9	162.00
RK-15-49	3-Feb-15	6-Feb-15	300.0	615314.93	6383033.08	514.30	90.2	-60.0	111.00
							90.7	-60.7	171.00
							91.9	-61.3	231.00
							92.4	-61.8	291.00
RK-15-50	7-Feb-15	9-Feb-15	243.0	615236.01	6382597.24	521.90	88.1	-60.0	87.00
							89.1	-60.5	147.00
							90.6	-61.0	207.00
							89.5	-61.1	237.00
RK-15-51	9-Feb-15	12-Feb-15	233.0	615239.36	6382816.19	518.90	93.0	-61.3	114.00
							92.3	-61.5	144.00
							91.0	-61.5	174.00
							91.9	-61.4	204.00
RK-15-52	14-Feb-15	16-Feb-15	235.0	605150.16	6394400.05	498.82	42.7	-88.8	75.00
	.						54.4	-88.3	105.00
							63.7	-88.1	135.00
							63.4	-88.1	165.00
							55.7	-88.4	195.00
							58.0	-88.5	225.00
RK-15-53	16-Feb-15	22-Feb-15	393.0	605232.03	6394267.95	498.75	342.3	-64.0	90.00
							342.2	-64.6	120.00
							342.7	-64.6	150.00
							343.5	-64.6	180.00
RK-15-54	23-Feb-15	27-Feb-15	352.0	605138.39	6394404.05	498.85	138.5	-63.0	81.00
	.						140.9	-63.1	141.00
							140.3	-61.6	201.00
							142.6	-61.1	276.00
							142.5	-60.9	336.00
RK-15-56	28-Feb-15	4-Mar-15	288.0	605105.81	6394454.82	498.79	144.2	-63.1	126.00
	.						144.1	-63.7	156.00
							149.7	-63.1	192.00
							143.8	-63.0	222.00
							145.7	-63.2	252.00
							146.1	-63.1	282.00
RK-15-58	13-Mar-15	19-Mar-15	211.7	606339.85	6394979.92	498.89	353.1	-88.7	111.00
	.						329.0	-88.7	141.00
							333.4	-88.4	171.00
							342.5	-88.3	201.00
RK-15-59	19-Mar-15	22-Mar-15	189.0	606280.04	6394839.95	498.80	21.0	-88.5	120.00
	.						19.0	-88.7	150.00
							10.2	-89.0	180.00

RK-15-60	23-Mar-15	25-Mar-15	174.0	605449.70	6394979.72	498.82	47.4	-88.6	120.00
	.						40.8	-89.5	150.00
RK-15-61	25-Mar-15	27-Mar-15	192.0	606561.00	6394901.01	498.88	196.2	-89.4	123.00
	.						195.4	-89.3	153.00
							209.3	-89.0	183.00
RK-15-62	26-Mar-15	30-Mar-15	198.0	606940.01	6394981.00	498.77	211.1	-89.9	111.00
	.						140.6	-89.8	141.00
							178.4	-89.8	171.00
							137.7	-89.7	198.00
RK-15-63	31-Mar-15	4-Apr-15	240.0	606962.67	6394800.48	498.86	273.1	-67.4	120.00
	.						274.3	-67.4	150.00
							272.8	-67.2	180.00
							274.6	-67.0	210.00
							275.7	-66.5	240.00
BO-15-01 (RK-15-55)	23-Feb-15	28-Feb-15	412.5	607610.25	6395358.04	499.01	5.3	-71.8	129.00
	.						2.8	-71.8	159.00
							3.2	-72.3	219.00
							3.5	-72.7	249.00
							358.7	-73.2	309.00
							2.0	-73.0	369.00
BO-15-02 (RK-15-57)	1-Mar-15	7-Mar-15	426.0	607614.92	6395395.21	498.78	353.3	-74.4	159.00
	.						352.9	-74.8	219.00
							350.5	-74.5	279.00
							350.9	-73.4	339.00
							352.3	-72.5	399.00
BO-15-03	5-Mar-15	9-Mar-15	301.0	607880.04	6395390.68	498.64	318.3	-70.9	150.00
	.						317.7	-70.5	180.00
							318.5	-70.6	210.00
							316.9	-70.7	240.00
							317.6	-70.5	270.00
							319.1	-70.0	300.00
BO-15-04	7-Mar-15	10-Mar-15	324.0	607613.87	6395425.58	498.60	352.7	-68.8	135.00
	.						347.5	-69.2	195.00
							348.8	-69.0	225.00
							346.1	-69.3	255.00
							349.5	69.0	285.00
							350.1	-68.9	315.00
BO-15-05	11-Mar-15	15-Mar-15	300.0	607679.82	6395262.20	498.80	356.1	-70.9	141.00
							353.8	-71.1	171.00
							351.5	-71.1	201.00
							352.6	-71.0	231.00
							352.3	-71.1	261.00
							353.2	-71.4	291.00
BO-15-06	11-Mar-15	15-Mar-15	423.0	607859.85	6395255.01	498.87	309.1	-67.0	180.00
							310.4	-66.3	240.00
							309.6	-66.2	330.00

							309.3	-66.3	390.00
							308.3	-66.4	423.00
BO-15-07	15-Mar-15	19-Mar-15	258.0	607680.52	6395299.90	498.78	0.4	-70.3	138.00
							359.9	-70.7	174.00
							359.6	-71.0	204.00
							0.7	-71.2	234.00
BO-15-08	16-Mar-15	18-Mar-15	285.0	607956.09	6395459.18	498.72	320.0	-71.2	162.00
							320.0	-71.0	192.00
							318.8	-71.0	222.00
							313.9	-70.9	282.00
BO-15-09	19-Mar-15	24-Mar-15	463.0	607772.95	6395257.66	498.73	314.7	-70.0	177.00
							318.9	-69.5	237.00
							317.4	-69.2	297.00
							316.2	-69.0	357.00
							317.0	-67.8	417.00
							317.7	-67.5	447.00
BO-15-10	19-Mar-15	25-Mar-15	359.7	607678.51	6395385.15	498.75	352.1	-72.8	186.00
							350.7	-72.8	216.00
							351.0	-72.4	246.00
BO-15-11	26-Mar-15	30-Mar-15	393.0	607739.74	6395384.74	498.78	1.4	-73.5	141.00
							1.6	-73.7	201.00
							1.6	-73.5	258.00
							354.9	-73.9	318.00
							353.5	-73.9	348.00
BO-15-12	26-Mar-15	31-Mar-15	332.0	607678.51	6395385.15	498.75	356.8	-75.1	147.00
							353.4	-75.6	177.00
							355.8	-75.6	237.00
							353.2	-75.6	297.00
BO-15-13	31-Mar-15	3-Apr-15	363.5	607999.30	6395405.42	498.78	337.2	-68.2	210.00
							333.7	-68.8	240.00
							332.4	-68.3	270.00
							335.2	-68.3	300.00
							330.6	-68.3	330.00
							329.6	-68.2	360.00
BO-15-14	1-Apr-15	9-Apr-15	544.0	607719.89	6395139.75	499.08	5.7	-69.2	159.00
							4.0	-69.4	219.00
							0.5	-70.6	279.00
							3.1	-70.5	339.00

Data Verification

Much of the foregoing data is sourced from publicly available data, particularly assessment reports detailing the historical exploration work completed on the Rook I Property and surrounding area. In addition, there are geological reports by provincial geological survey personnel and other recognized geological research facilities and geophysical surveys recently carried out for NexGen and previous property owners. The reported work in assessment files appears to have been supervised and/or carried out by competent professionals using methods that were the standard at the time. Note however, that assessment files do not always contain complete original analytical data. No Qualified Person has attempted to verify data in the historical assessment file reports.

No Qualified Person has tried to verify data from recent airborne or ground geophysical surveys. This work was completed by qualified experienced contractors and their work appears to have been professionally done. NexGen personnel have put in place proper procedures for drill core handling in order to ensure a consistent chain of custody and samples are being analyzed by accredited laboratories which use blanks, duplicates and standards on a routine basis. At the present time this is adequate for an early stage drilling program; With the discovery of the Arrow zone NexGen began using field, blank and reference samples. It is the opinion of the Corporation's Qualified Person, Mr. Garrett Ainsworth, that the data available and summarized in this Annual Information Form is adequate for the purposes for which this Annual Information Form will be used.

DETAILS OF THE RADIO PROJECT

J. Allan McNutt, prepared a technical report entitled "Technical Report on the Radio Project, S-113997" dated effective as of September 25, 2012 (the "**Radio Technical Report**"). Mr. McNutt is a "qualified person" under NI 43-101. The following description of the Radio Project has been summarized, in part, from the Radio Technical Report and readers should consult the Radio Technical Report to obtain further particulars regarding the Radio Project. The Radio Technical Report is available for review under the Corporation's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

All scientific and technical information in this summary, and in the section above "General Development of the Business – Exploration – Radio Project" relating to any updates to the Radio Project to the date of the Radio Technical Report, has been reviewed and approved by Mr. McNutt who is a qualified person under NI 43-101.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

The Radio Project is an early stage exploration project. The Radio Project is located in Northern Saskatchewan (NTS 64L05, 74I08) and was staked in 2009. The property is 847 hectares in size and consists of mineral claim S-113997, which has an effective date of September 1, 2009 and is in good standing until August 31, 2013 (and subsequent to the date of the Radio Technical Report, is in good standing until November 29, 2042). There are no drill holes known on the property, and the author of the Radio Technical Report is unaware of any environmental liabilities on the property.

NexGen's interest in the Radio Project consists of the Radio Option Agreement among NexGen as optionee (by assignment from Tigers Realm) and the Optionors.

In order to carry out the proposed exploration on the ground, including drilling, the following permits must be acquired: (i) a general use permit which lists all the rules and regulations to be followed; (ii) a forest product permit if trees are to be cut; (iii) a camp permit if there will be a camp on the property; (iv) a water use permit; and (v) a drilling permit. A review of the Ministry of Environment areas of endangered/threatened species and a review of archaeological sites at the Heritage Conservation Branch is also required but no permit is required to be issued. Permits were approved and issued to NexGen in order to complete linecutting and ground geophysical surveys in 2012. The work was completed and a closure report was submitted on August 31, 2012. As at the date of the Radio Technical Report, NexGen was awaiting acceptance of the closure report. Subsequent to the date of the Radio Technical Report, the closure report was accepted.

ACCESSIBILITY, CLIMATE, LOCAL RESOURCES, INFRASTRUCTURE AND PHYSIOGRAPHY

The Radio Project is located in the Athabasca Basin of Northern Saskatchewan and approximately 400 kilometres north of La Ronge, Saskatchewan, the nearest major community and 700 kilometres north of Saskatoon. Air access to the Radio Project is by helicopter with the nearest air base being at Points North, less than 10 kilometres from the claim. Points North is also serviced by daily commercial flights from Saskatoon. During the winter the property can be accessed from Points North by snowmobile and during the summer it can be accessed by all-terrain vehicles via a drill road leading to the Roughrider property.

Points North is on highway 905 which is open year round. La Ronge, a supply centre for northern Saskatchewan is 440 kilometres by road to the south.

The climate is typical of mid-latitude areas. Temperatures range from greater than +30°C in the summer to colder than -40°C during the winter. Winters are long and cold, with mean monthly temperatures of below freezing for seven months. Annual precipitation is approximately 0.5 metres with half of this as rain during the warmer months and the remainder as 70 to 100 cm of snow. Freeze up normally starts in October and breakup in April. Exploration can be carried out year round although ground access is affected by freeze up and breakup.

La Ronge is the nearest community of any size where exploration supplies and services can be obtained although increased services are becoming available at Points North. Points North offers camp services, bulk fuel, trucking and heavy equipment rental. Manpower for a mining operation would likely be sourced from La Ronge and other northern communities as well as communities in southern Saskatchewan.

Electrical power is available from the provincial grid with a switching station at Points North. It is not known if there is sufficient capacity on that grid to operate a mining and milling operation on the Radio Project. Fuel oil and propane are available at Points North. Water is readily available in the area. The Radio Project is relatively small and does not have any large lakes that might be suitable for tailings disposal however there is potential to excavate a tailings facility in the low lying swampy areas of the property. The Radio Project is large enough for the construction of facilities for an underground mining and milling operation including areas for waste rock.

Any surface facilities and mine workings constructed would be located on provincial lands. The right to use and occupy provincial lands is acquired under a surface lease from the Province of Saskatchewan (not required for exploration work), and no such leases had been acquired as of the date of the Radio Technical Report, and no such leases have been acquired subsequent to the date of the Radio Technical Report.

The Radio Project area lies in an area of ground moraine, hummocky moraine and muskeg. Topography is of low relief, perhaps 25 metres across the property, ranging from 465 to 490 metres. Tree cover is sparse to moderate with jackpine on the higher drier ground and small black spruce in the poorly drained lower ground.

HISTORY

Ownership of the Radio Project, now covered by S-113997, is summarized below:

1969 - 1970s	Numac Oil and Gas Limited	Held Permit 8 which included ground now covered by S-113997. Permit lapsed in early 1970s.
1976 - 1983	Kelvin Energy Inc. / Asamera Oil Corp.	Staked a large land package and optioned it to Asamera Oil Corp. Ltd. Claim 4728 of this package included land now covered by S 113997. In 1983 Asamera sold its interest to Cameco Corp.
1983 - 2003	Cameco Corp. (" Cameco ")	Acquired Asamera's interest in Claim 4728 in 1983, in 1987 Claim 4728 was taken to lease as ML5304. In 1988 ML5304 was restaked as CBS9333. In 1995 CBS9333 became subject to Treaty Land Selection.
2003 - 2009	N/A	In 2003, CBS9333 lapsed but Treaty Land Selection still in force.
2009 - present (1) (2)	Optionors	Treaty Land Selection was lifted so land previously subject to CBS9333 became open for staking and was staked by the Optionors.

Notes:

- (1) In 2011 the Optionors entered into an option agreement with Reva Resources Corp respect to the area covered by S-113997, which was subsequently terminated.
- (2) In December 2011 the Optionors entered into the Radio Option Agreement with NexGen.

Numac Oil and Gas Limited (1969 to 1970s)

The area of and around the Radio Project has seen exploration for uranium since the 1960s with the first recorded work in the area by Numac Oil and Gas Limited (now Numac Energy Inc.) (Numac) and partner Esso Minerals Canada in 1969.

Numac carried out an airborne radiometric survey on Permit 8, including ground now covered by S-113997 and a hydrogeochemical survey analyzing for uranium and radon in lake waters. Most of the results for lakes on S-113997 returned low values but Midwest Lake returned high radon values (3 to 10 times background values). Further prospecting in the area of Midwest Lake in 1969 and 1970 did not locate any anomalous radioactivity. The airborne radiometric survey however outlined a swath of higher radioactivity along the southeast boundary or just to the southeast of the property which was thought to be related to till with a higher concentration of basement (rather than sandstone) material. The subsequent discovery of uranium mineralized sandstone boulders at Midwest Lake in the early 1970s by Numac resulted in all their exploration being focused in that area and the permit covering what is now the area S-113997 lapsed

Kelvin Energy Inc. and Asamera Oil Corp. Ltd. (1976 to 1983)

In 1976, Kelvin Energy Inc. staked a large land package and optioned it to Asamera Oil Corp. Ltd. (Asamera). Claim 4728 of this package included what is now S-113997. Asamera was operator of the large land package which subsequently became a joint venture with SMDC.

Work carried out in 1976, which covered S-113997, included an airborne radiometric - magnetic - VLF-EM survey which detected a radiometric pattern similar to that of Numac's 1969 survey over the same ground. Limited prospecting discovered nothing of interest. Several VLF-EM conductors were identified in the area but none appeared to extend to S-113997 (the several that trend towards the property died out within 500 metres of the border).

In 1977, Asamera completed, on and in the vicinity of S-113997, an INPUT EM survey which detected no conductors on S-113997, a surficial geology study, lake sediment and water sampling, prospecting and radon in water surveys, radon in soils and ground radiometric surveys. The lake sediment sampling returned above background levels of uranium in many lakes of the project area. Radon in lake, bog and stream waters on the property showed several areas with above background to anomalous values but no specific pattern to the results. One lake, just at the south tip of the property boundary gave anomalous uranium in lake sediments (5.0 ppm, background of 2.0 ppm) and anomalous radon in lake and bog waters. Some 1500 metres to the north, samples indicated a small area (100mX100m) with anomalous radon in soils. No radioactive boulders were discovered in the area. Also in 1977, a surficial geology study was completed for Asamera by consultant, L. Bayrock which determined that S-113997 is covered by muskeg, and hummocky and ground moraines.

The discovery in 1977 of mineralization on the Dawn Lake 11 Zone resulted in Asamera concentrating exploration in the Dawn Lake area (a few kilometres to the east of S-113997) and in areas with airborne EM anomalies.

In 1978, a regional gravity survey was completed on the Asamera property and S-113997 was interpreted to be in an area of northerly trending basement structures within NE trending gravity gradients.

In 1979, Asamera completed an airborne VLF-EM, radiometric and magnetic survey over its property, including the area of S-113997. Results were little different from those in previous surveys.

Between 1979 and 1982 little work was recorded on the area of S-113997. A Barringer experimental airborne COTRAN test survey was carried out in 1982 as part of the test survey work carried out on the NEA-IAEA area. Geochemical and other geophysical test work was also completed. The only results of note were two weak EM anomalies detected by the COTRAN System and anomalous nickel and copper values in lake sediments in the area covered by S-113997. Asamera drilled a hole, Q5-33, north of the

Radio Project and it is reported that chlorite occurs in the sandstone in the drill hole. Chlorite in sandstone is associated with hydrothermal alteration in the vicinity of uranium mineralization.

Cameco Corp. (1983 to 2003)

SMDC/Cameco took over from Asamera as operator of the Dawn Lake joint venture in 1983. Little exploration work has been recorded on S-113997 since 1983.

In 1992, boulder geochemical sampling showed high (>60%) illite in sandstone at four of ten sampling sites in the south corner of the property (the only part of the property that was sampled), and above background lead values at two sites in the same area.

In 2002, AeroTEM and Step loop EM were carried out over part of S-113997. The Step loop EM survey showed an unexplained early channel anomalous response in the southern part of the property and the AeroTEM had four lines spaced 1000 metres apart completed on the property. While no basement EM conductors were defined on the Radio Project, the airborne survey results showed large areas of higher conductivity (lower resistivity) which are continuous from line to line along the south eastern boundary. These may be sourced in the basement or could be caused by structures and/or alteration in the sandstone.

No additional information on exploration work conducted after 2005 on S-113997 is recorded in the non-confidential assessment files.

The Optionors/Reva Resources Corp. (2009 to 2012)

In 2011, an airborne VTEM and magnetic survey was completed by Geotech Ltd. for Reva Resources Corp. who had the previous right to explore the property pursuant to an option agreement with the Optionors during the first half of 2011. An airborne magnetic gradiometer survey by Goldak Airborne Surveys was also completed. The Optionors had Intrepid Geophysics interpret the Goldak magnetic data and R. Koch interpreted the Geotech electromagnetic data. The magnetic data provided more detail than is available from the government regional magnetic surveys and thus a cleaner interpretation of the basement geology. In essence, the north half of the property is underlain by basement Archean orthogneisses which are strongly magnetic and very resistive.

The remainder of the property is underlain by weakly magnetic and variably conductive rocks, probably Wollaston Group metasediments.

The VTEM survey similarly improved on the earlier ground and airborne data. While no strong EM anomalies (basement graphitic conductors) were defined on the property the survey did confirm and extend the weak conductive basement anomaly detected by the 2002 AeroTEM survey. Some of this weak conductivity may be related to the strong conductors (graphitic horizons) just off property to the southeast.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Radio Project is located within the eastern Athabasca Basin where a clastic sequence of Mesoproterozoic rocks, the Athabasca Group sandstones, lies on the deformed and metamorphosed rocks of the Western Churchill Province of the Archean Canadian Shield. The basement rocks consist of Archean orthogneisses, which are overlain by and structurally intercalated with the highly deformed supracrustal Paleoproterozoic Wollaston Group metasediments.

Based on the magnetic data, the Radio Project is underlain by high magnetic intensity orthogneisses in the north half and low magnetic Wollaston Group metasediments in the south half of the property. There may also be metasediments in the very northwest part of the claim. Depth to basement is estimated at 170 to 200 metres. Magnetic data shows a number of basement structural zones trending east-west, east-northeast and to a lesser extent, north-northeast, northeast, northwest and north-south.

Of note is that the Radio Project lies in an area with numerous uranium deposits and zones of uranium mineralization within 10 kilometres of the property (Midwest, Midwest A, Roughrider Zones, Dawn Lake Zones, McClean Lake deposits). Exploration in the area has concentrated on drill testing "conventional" EM anomalies thought to define conductive basement graphitic horizons. Despite its location in an area with many uranium deposits the Radio Project is at an early stage of exploration.

EXPLORATION

Exploration work completed to date has been ground based prospecting and geochemical sampling in the 1970s and 1980s, ground geophysical surveys in 2012 and airborne surveys in 2011. NexGen contractors completed ground gravity (MWH Geo-Surveys Ltd.) and DC-Resistivity/Chargeability surveys (Patterson Geophysics Inc.) on the property in the spring and summer of 2012. Essentially gravity readings were made at 50m intervals on cut lines spaced 200m apart with 1261 gravity measurements made. Using the same grid 58.1 kilometres of resistivity/chargeability data were collected using a pole-dipole array.

The data was then processed and interpreted by Living Sky Geophysics Inc. Based on the ground geophysical surveys and airborne magnetic work, the north half of the property appears to be underlain by resistive magnetic gneisses and most of the south half is probably underlain by weakly magnetic, less resistive metasedimentary rocks. Eight areas of exploration interest ("AEI's") were defined by resistivity lows often with coincident gravity lows; these responses are suggestive of clay alteration which is often found with unconformity type uranium mineralization. Two of the AEI's are in the north half of the property and are probably underlain by faulted magnetic orthogneisses; i.e. are structural targets. Two other AEI's were later defined in the north half of the property but may be underlain by less magnetic, possibly metasedimentary rocks.

The geophysical data collected and processed by NexGen contractors is believed to be reliable and of high quality and comparable to that of other contractors working in Northern Saskatchewan.

MINERALIZATION

There is no record of significant mineralization on the property to date.

DRILLING

As at the effective date of the Radio Technical Report, there was no record of drilling on the Radio Project, only ground geophysical surveys had been completed and there was no evidence of previous drilling on the property." For a description of the drilling completed on the Radio Project since the date of the Radio Technical Report, see "Description of the Business – Exploration – Radio Project".

SAMPLING, ANALYSIS AND SECURITY

Ground geophysical surveys have been carried out on the property by NexGen but no geochemical sampling has been done on the property by NexGen. Based on assessment file reports and the author of the Radio Technical Report's experience with Asamera and SMDC, previous analytical work appears to have been carried out in a professional manner using sample preparation and analytical techniques that were the norm for the industry at that time.

The historical exploration work included several different types of geochemical sampling (lake sediments, water, radon in soil and water, rock samples). Sample density for regional techniques (lake sediments, waters) averages less than one sample per three square kilometres. Based on the data available, which is not complete, and the author of the Radio Technical Report's personal experience with SMDC and Asamera, the sampling was done or supervised by competent personnel. Records of laboratory analytical results in the assessment file data show the labs had internal quality controls (procedures, standards); however little is reported about standards and duplicates for field techniques, i.e. radon (which in the author of the Radio Technical Report's experience has difficulties in reproducibility of results).

EXPLORATION AND DEVELOPMENT

Given the location of the Radio Project in the eastern Athabasca Basin, an area known for uranium mineralization, the uranium deposits on two adjacent properties, the favourable basement lithologies and structures as interpreted from magnetic surveys, the lack of drilling on the property, encouraging geochemical results, the proximity of EM anomalies just off the property, and the zones of weak conductivity on property defined by the AeroTEM, COTRAN and step loop EM surveys, the anomalies defined by the 2011 VTEM and magnetic surveys and the 2012 gravity and DC Resistivity surveys, more exploration is warranted on the Radio Project.

Specifically, the Radio Technical Report recommended that exploration should consist of diamond drilling. The drilling should test the geophysical targets defined by historical work the 2011 airborne survey results and the data from the 2012 ground geophysical surveys. Ten areas of exploration interest were defined by the interpretation of the recent geophysical airborne and ground geophysical surveys. These targets are recommended for drill testing. A budget of \$3,700,000 is proposed for drilling 18 holes (average depth of 400 metres) to initially test six of the ten target areas. Unconformity style uranium mineralization can often have a small footprint and thus it is estimated that at least 44 holes may be required to test all of the areas of interest.

Another drill campaign will be needed to complete the 44 holes on the ten target areas. Additional ground geophysics may be warranted but most of the exploration would consist of drilling. It is currently estimated that budget levels to complete the 44 hole drill program would be similar to that of the first drill program (i.e. in the order of \$3.5 million). Further exploration on the property would be conditional on the results of the initial drilling of 44 holes.

DETAILS OF THE RADIO PROJECT – SUBSEQUENT EXPLORATION

Garrett Ainsworth, BSc. PGeo., the Company's Vice President – Exploration & Development, is the "qualified person" under NI 43-101 who has supervised the preparation of the technical information in this section above, under "General Development of the Business – Exploration Radio Project" relating to subsequent exploration on the Radio Project after the effective date of the Radio Technical Report.

Summer 2013 Drilling

In June and July of 2013 NexGen completed 3,472.9 m of diamond drilling in nine drill holes. The contractor was Bryson Drilling Ltd. who utilized one drill rig. The drill rig was supported by helicopter for most of the drill campaign by Guardian Helicopters.

Details of the 9 drill holes are shown below:

DDH	Start (dd/mm/yyyy)	Complete (dd/mm/yyyy)	Dip	TN Azim	Elevation (mASL)	UTM E (m)	UTM N (m)
RD-13-01	20/06/2013	23/06/2013	-70	165	479	559457	6468092
RD-13-02	24/06/2013	27/06/2013	-70	165	481	559449	6468245
RD-13-03	28/06/2013	30/06/2013	-70	165	482	559452	6468396
RD-13-04	1/7/2013	3/7/2013	-70	165	472	560249	6467964
RD-13-05	3/7/2013	5/7/2013	-90	165	472	560249	6467964
RD-13-06	6/7/2013	8/7/2013	-70	345	481	558664	6467011
RD-13-07	8/7/2013	12/7/2013	-90	345	481	558664	6467011
RD-13-08	14/7/2013	19/7/2013	-85	315	468	559074	6466233
RD-13-09	20/7/2013	22/7/2013	-85	315	465	559585	6466940

**** All UTM coordinates are in NAD 83, Zone 13**

**** All depths are in down hole metres**

**** All drill holes collared in mineral disposition S-113997**

**** All drill core diameter is NQ sized**

**** No drill rods or steel was left down hole and all NW casing was recovered**

**** All drill holes were cemented from the bottom of casing down to 30 m into bedrock**

The exploration program was designed to search for the presence of uranium, or hydrothermal alteration commonly associated with uranium deposits, along favorable basement lithologies and structures interpreted from the combination of aeromagnetics, EM, gravity, and resistivity geophysical data sets. While no anomalous radioactivity was encountered, diamond drilling successfully explained several of the geophysical targets. Drill hole RD-13-06 intersected strong bleaching and desiccification with minor clay alteration in the sandstones, as well as favourable pelitic gneiss in the basement. Drill hole RD-13-08 intersected several graphitic zones, as well as white clay and red hematite bands and fracture halo. Basement structures exceeding one meter in drill hole thickness were also intersected in all nine drill holes at Radio.

Interpretation of drill core observations, whole rock geochemical analyses and geophysical properties have helped define lithological, alteration styles and structural controls which may be of interest for future exploration programs. These same interpretations and analyses will help to refine target areas for future drill programs within the Radio property.

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

Sampling Methods

PIMA spectrometer samples (10 cm piece of core) were taken in each hole at nominal 5 m spacing. Some samples were taken for specific reasons, (ie. to identify particular minerals). Samples were sent to Rekasa Rocks Inc. for analysis. Results show that, in general, illite has the same or higher abundance than kaolinite and in more highly altered rocks illite is much more abundant.

Three types of samples were taken for geochemical analysis: (i) point samples taken at nominal spacing of 5 m and meant to be representative of the interval or of a particular rock unit; (ii) composite samples of 1 cm at the end of each core box row over 10 m intervals; and (iii) where there is elevated radioactivity, 0.5 m samples over the anomalous interval and for one or two m beyond the radioactivity. Analyses noted for the drill holes below are for uranium (U) and thorium (Th) using total digestion, ICP-MS at the SRC Facility.

The geologists and technicians sampling the core make every effort to select point samples that are representative and, when core splitting, every effort is made to ensure pieces of core are split in half.

Sample Preparation

As the hole is being drilled, the contractor personnel, box the core at the drill and screw on lids. Only the contractor and NexGen geological staff are authorized to be at the drill site and at the logging facility. Non-radioactive structures, alteration and lithologies were sampled to improve knowledge of the rocks being cored and to provide background geological and geochemical data. Samples are also taken so as to avoid having more than one lithology in any given sample. These geological samples are noted as "point" samples and a 10 cm sample is taken at a specific point, usually 5 to 10 m apart.

Core is transported to the logging facility at the end of each shift. The NexGen geologists log the core for lithology, structures, and alteration. Geological technicians and geologists also log the core in detail for core recovery, rock quality determination, fracture count, magnetic susceptibility and radioactivity. NexGen personnel perform wet and dry density measurements on core using standard laboratory techniques.

On site sample preparation consists of core splitting by geological technicians under the supervision of NexGen geologists. All other sample preparation is done by the independent laboratories.

For core to be sampled by splitting, the geologist marks the sample intervals on the core, records sample intervals within the sample ticket book, then staples sample number tags from the sample ticket book to the core box where the interval begins. The core is then marked for sampling and is photographed wet and dry. The core is then split lengthwise using a mechanical knife-type core splitting tool. Every attempt is made to ensure an even split. Intervals of poorly lithified core (i.e. clay altered) are split using stainless steel kitchen utensils. One half of the core is placed in plastic sample bags pre-marked with the sample number along with a sample number tag from the sample ticket book. The other half is returned to the core box and stored at the core storage area located near the logging facility. The core splitter and sample collection pans are cleaned before the next sample is split. The bags containing the split samples are then placed in buckets with lids for transport to the Saskatchewan Research Council Geoanalytical Laboratory facility ("**SRC Facility**") in Saskatoon, Saskatchewan which is an independent laboratory. Samples are trucked to SRC by NexGen employees.

NexGen inserts blanks, duplicates and standards into the analytical stream. In particular, NexGen inserted (i) field "blank" samples at every 20th odd numbered sample (i.e. ending with "xxx10", "xxx30", etc.); and (ii) field "duplicate" samples at every 20th even numbered sample (i.e. ending with "xxx20", "xxx40", etc.) for all three sample types.

Sample Analysis

The SRC Facility in Saskatoon crushes each sample to 60% -10 mesh and then riffle split to a 200 gram (g) sample with the remainder retained as coarse reject. The 200 g sample is then ground to 90% -140-mesh. All samples were analyzed at the SRC Facility by ICP-OES/MS for a suite of elements including U and Th. The latter were analyzed by both partial and total digestion techniques. Replicates are chosen at random and an additional 200 g sample is riffle split and ground to 90% -140 mesh. For total digestion analysis, a 0.125 g pulp is gently heated in a mixture of ultrapure HF/HNO₃/HClO₄ until dry and the residue dissolved in dilute ultrapure HNO₃. For the partial digestion analysis, a 0.500 g pulp is digested with 2.25 ml of 8:1 ultrapure HNO₃/HCl for 1 hour at 95°C. The solutions are then analyzed by Inductively Coupled Plasma analysis. For boron, a 0.1 g pulp is fused at 650°C in a mixture of Na₂O₂/Na₂CO₃. The SRC Facility routinely runs standards and duplicates as part of their quality assurance and control program.

With each batch of samples run, the SRC Facility inserts, at a minimum, a duplicate from the batch and a quality control standard of its own. NexGen has also instructed the SRC Facility to run one coarse reject duplicate with every batch of twenty samples.

It is believed that the SCR Facility's quality assurance and control methods are adequate for this early stage of exploration.

SECURITY OF SAMPLES

Core samples are trucked to the SRC Facility by NexGen employees. Results from the analyses are delivered by email directly to NexGen's exploration office in Saskatoon and the signed paper assay certificates are mailed. The protocol for core handling and access from drill to the sampling and logging facility to the sample buckets has been described in the "Sample Preparation and Sample Analysis" sections above. It appears to be adequate for early stage exploration.

It is the author's opinion that the methods of sample preparation, security and analytical procedures are adequate given the early stage of exploration at the Radio Project.

Data Verification

Much of the foregoing data is sourced from publicly available data, particularly assessment reports detailing the historical exploration work completed on the Rook I Project and surrounding area. In addition, there are geological reports by provincial geological survey personnel and other recognized geological research facilities and geophysical surveys recently carried out for NexGen and previous property owners. The reported work in assessment files appears to have been supervised and/or carried out by competent professionals using methods that were the standard at the time. Note however, that assessment files do not always contain complete original analytical data. No Qualified Person has attempted to verify data in the assessment file reports.

No Qualified person has tried to verify data from recent airborne or ground geophysical surveys. This work was completed by qualified experienced contractors and their work appears to have been professionally done. NexGen personnel have put in place proper procedures for drill core handling in order to ensure a consistent chain of custody and samples are being analyzed by accredited laboratories which use blanks, duplicates and standards on a routine basis. At the present time this is adequate for an early stage drilling program; however, with continuing drilling programs NexGen may want to use their own field, blank and reference samples. It is the opinion of the Corporation's Qualified Person, Mr. Garrett Ainsworth, that the data available and summarized above is adequate for the purposes for which this Annual Information Form will be used.

RISK FACTORS

The operations of the Corporation are speculative due to the high-risk nature of its business which is the exploration of mining properties. These are not the only risks and uncertainties that NexGen faces. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to the Corporation or that the Corporation currently considers immaterial may also impair its business operations. These risk factors could materially affect the Corporation's future operating results and could cause actual events to differ materially from those described in forward-looking statements relating to the Corporation.

NEGATIVE OPERATING CASH FLOW AND DEPENDENCE ON THIRD PARTY FINANCING

The Corporation has no source of operating cash flow and there can be no assurance that the Corporation will ever achieve profitability. Accordingly, the Corporation is dependent on third party financing to continue exploration activities on the Corporation's properties, maintain capacity and satisfy contractual obligations. The amount and timing of expenditures will depend on a number of factors, including in material part the progress of ongoing exploration, the results of consultants' analyses and recommendations, the rate at which operating losses are incurred, the entering into of any strategic partnerships and the acquisition of additional property interests. Failure to obtain such additional financing could result in delay or indefinite postponement of further exploration and development of the Corporation's properties or require the Corporation to sell, one or more of its properties.

UNCERTAINTY OF ADDITIONAL FUNDING

As stated above, the Corporation is dependent on third party financing, whether through debt, equity, or other means. Although the Corporation has been successful in raising funds to date, there is no assurance that the Corporation will be successful in obtaining required financing in the future or that such financing will be available on terms acceptable to the Corporation. Volatile uranium markets, a claim against the Corporation, a significant event disrupting the Corporation's business, or other factors may make it difficult or impossible to obtain financing through debt, equity, or other means on favourable terms, or at all. In addition, any future financing may also be dilutive to existing shareholders of the Corporation.

As described above, NexGen is required to satisfy certain earn-in expenditures on the Radio Project and in order to satisfy such requirements, the Corporation will be required to obtain additional financing in the future. Again, however, there is no assurance that the Corporation will be successful in obtaining the required financing in the future or that such financing will be available on terms acceptable to the Corporation.

FORFEITURE OF THE RADIO OPTION AGREEMENT

Pursuant to the Radio Option Agreement, in order to acquire the 70% interest in the Radio Project, NexGen must incur \$10,000,000 in exploration expenditures on the Radio Project by May 31, 2017. As at the date hereof, NexGen has incurred less than \$5,000,000. The Corporation will be required to obtain additional financing in the future in order to satisfy the remaining earn-in expenditure requirement. There is no assurance that the Corporation will be successful in obtaining the required financing in the future or that such financing will be available on terms acceptable to the Corporation. Failure to satisfy the earn-in expenditures required under the Radio Option Agreement may result in the termination of the Corporation's interest in the Radio Project, without any return of any amounts previously paid.

INFLUENCE OF LARGE SHAREHOLDERS

The Corporation has one shareholder who holds more than 10% of the issued and outstanding common shares of the Corporation (the "**Major Shareholder**"). As a result, this shareholder may have the ability to influence the outcome of matters submitted to the NexGen's shareholders for approval, which could include the election and removal of directors, amendments to NexGen's constituting documents and business combinations. NexGen's interests and those of the Major Shareholders may at times conflict, and these conflicts might be resolved against NexGen's interests. In addition, the concentration of NexGen's issued and outstanding shares in the hands of one or more Major Shareholders may discourage an unsolicited bid for the common shares of the Corporation, and this may adversely impact the value and trading price of the common shares.

LIMITED OPERATING HISTORY

The Corporation is subject to many risks common to enterprises with a limited operating history, including under-capitalization, cash shortages, limitations with respect to personnel, financial and other resources and absence of revenues. There is no assurance that the Corporation will be successful in achieving a return on shareholders' investment and the likelihood of success must be considered in light of its early stage of operations. All of the Corporation's properties are in the exploration stage. There can be no assurance that the Corporation will be able to develop any of its projects profitably or that any of its activities will generate positive cash flow.

NO KNOWN MINERAL RESERVES OR MINERAL RESOURCES

There are no known bodies of commercial minerals on NexGen's mineral exploration properties. The exploration programs undertaken and proposed constitute an exploratory search for mineral resources and mineral reserves or programs to qualify identified mineralization as mineral reserves. There is no assurance that NexGen will be successful in its search for mineral resources and mineral reserves.

ALTERNATE SOURCES OF ENERGY AND URANIUM PRICES

Nuclear energy competes with other sources of energy like oil, natural gas, coal and hydro-electricity. These sources are somewhat interchangeable with nuclear energy, particularly over the longer term. If lower prices of oil, natural gas, coal and hydro-electricity are sustained over time, it may result in lower demand for uranium concentrates and uranium conversion services, which, among other things, could lead to lower uranium prices. Growth of the uranium and nuclear power industry will depend on continuing and growing support of nuclear technical to generate electricity. Unique political, technological and environmental factors affect the nuclear industry, exposing it to the risk of public opinion, which could have a negative effect on the demand for nuclear power and increase the regulation of the nuclear power industry. An accident at a nuclear reactor anywhere in the world could affect acceptance of nuclear energy and the future prospects for nuclear generation. All of the above factors could have a material and adverse effect on our ability to obtain the required financing in the future or to obtain such financing on terms acceptable to the Corporation, resulting in material and adverse effects on our exploration and development programs, cash flows and financial condition.

ABORIGINAL TITLE AND CONSULTATION ISSUES

First Nations and Métis title claims as well as related consultation issues may impact the Corporation's ability and that of its joint venture partners to pursue exploration, development and mining at its Saskatchewan properties. Pursuant to historical treaties, First Nations bands in Northern Saskatchewan ceded title to most traditional lands in the region in exchange for treaty benefits and reserve lands, but continue to assert title to the minerals within the lands. Managing relations with local First Nations bands is a matter of paramount importance to the Corporation. There may be no assurance however that title claims as well as related consultation issues will not arise on or with respect to the Corporation's properties.

EXPLORATION RISKS

The Corporation's properties are in early exploration stages and are without a known body of commercially exploitable ore. Exploration for mineral resources involves a high degree of risk and few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. The risks and uncertainties inherent in exploration activities include but are not limited to: general economic, market and business conditions, the regulatory process and actions, failure to obtain necessary permits and approvals, technical issues, new legislation, competitive and general economic factors and conditions, the uncertainties resulting from potential delays or changes in plans, the occurrence of unexpected events and management's capacity to execute and implement its future plans. Discovery of mineral deposits is dependent upon a number of factors, not the least of which are the technical skills of the exploration personnel involved and the capital required for the programs. The cost of conducting exploration programs may be substantial and the likelihood of success is difficult to assess. There is no assurance that the Corporation's mineral exploration activities will result in any discoveries of new bodies of commercial ore. There is also no assurance that even if commercial quantities of ore are discovered that a new ore body will be developed and brought into commercial production. The commercial viability of a mineral deposit once discovered is also dependent upon a number of factors, most of which factors are beyond the control of the Corporation and may result in the Corporation not receiving adequate return on investment capital.

RELIANCE UPON KEY MANAGEMENT AND OTHER PERSONNEL

The Corporation relies on the specialized skills of management (including, among others, its President and Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and VP Exploration) and consultants in the areas of mineral exploration, geology and business negotiations and management. The loss of any of these individuals could have an adverse affect on the Corporation. The Corporation does not currently maintain key-man life insurance on any of its key employees. As the Corporation's business activity grows, it will require additional key financial, administrative and qualified technical personnel. Although the Corporation believes that it will be successful in attracting and training qualified personnel, there can be no assurance of such success. If it is not successful in attracting, retaining and training qualified personnel, the efficiency of

the Corporation's business could be affected, which could have an adverse impact on its future cash flows, earnings, results of operation and financial condition.

TITLE TO PROPERTIES

NexGen has diligently investigated all title matters concerning the ownership of all mineral claims and plans to do so for all new claims and rights to be acquired. While to the best of its knowledge, title to NexGen's mineral properties are in good standing, this should not be construed as a guarantee of title. NexGen's mineral properties, may be affected by undetected defects in title, such as the reduction in size of the mineral titles and other third party claims affecting NexGen's interests. Maintenance of such interests is subject to ongoing compliance with the terms governing such mineral titles. Mineral properties sometimes contain claims or transfer histories that examiners cannot verify. A successful claim that NexGen does not have title to any of its mineral properties could cause NexGen to lose any rights to explore, develop and mine any minerals on that property, without compensation for its prior expenditures relating to such property.

UNINSURABLE RISKS

Exploration, development and production of mineral properties are subject to certain risks, and in particular, unexpected or unusually geological operating conditions including rock bursts, cave-ins, fires, flooding and earthquakes may occur. It is not always possible to insure fully against such risks and NexGen may decide not to take out insurance against such risks as a result of high premiums or for other reasons. Should such liabilities arise, they could have an adverse impact on NexGen's operations and could reduce or eliminate any future profitability and result in increasing costs and a decline in the value of the securities of NexGen.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Directors of NexGen are or may become directors of other reporting companies or have significant shareholdings in other mineral resource companies and, to the extent that such other companies may participate in ventures in which NexGen may participate, the directors of NexGen may have a conflict of interest in negotiating and concluding terms respecting the extent of such participation. NexGen and its directors will attempt to minimize such conflicts. In the event that such a conflict of interest arises at a meeting of the directors of NexGen, a director who has such a conflict will abstain from voting for or against the approval of such participation or such terms. In appropriate cases NexGen will establish a special committee of independent directors to review a matter in which several directors, or management, may have a conflict. Conflicts, if any, will be subject to the procedures and remedies as provided under the BCBCA. The provisions of the BCBCA require a director or officer of a corporation who has a material interest in a contract or transaction of the corporation, or a director or officer of a corporation who is a director or officer of or has a material interest in a person who has a material interest in a contract or transaction with the corporation, to disclose his or her interest and, in the case of directors, to refrain from voting on any matter in respect of such contract unless permitted under the BCBCA, as the case may be. Other than as indicated, NexGen has no other procedures or mechanisms to deal with conflicts of interest.

PERMITS AND LICENCES

The operations of NexGen will require licences and permits from various governmental and non-governmental authorities. NexGen has obtained, or will obtain, all necessary licences and permits required to carry on with activities which it is currently conducting or which it proposes to conduct under applicable laws and regulations. However, such licences and permits are subject to changes in regulations and in various operating circumstances. There can be no assurance that NexGen will be able to obtain all necessary licences and permits required to carry out planned exploration, development and mining operations at any of its projects.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

Environmental and other regulatory requirements affect the current and future operations of NexGen, including exploration and development activities, require permits from various federal and local governmental authorities and such operations are and will be governed by laws and regulations governing prospecting, development, mining, production, exports, taxes, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, land use, environmental protection, mine safety and other matters. NexGen believes it is in substantial compliance with all material laws and regulations which currently apply to its activities. Companies engaged in the development and operation of mines and related facilities often experience increased costs, and delays in production and other schedules as a result of the need to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permits.

Additional permits and studies, which may include environmental impact studies conducted before permits can be obtained, may be necessary prior to operation of NexGen's mineral properties and there can be no assurance that NexGen will be able to obtain or maintain all necessary permits that may be required to commence construction, development or operation of mining facilities at NexGen's mineral properties on terms which enable operations to be conducted at economically justifiable costs.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations, and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of the mining activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations and, in particular, environmental laws.

Amendments to current laws, regulations and permits governing operations and activities of mining companies, or more stringent implementation thereof, could have a material adverse impact on NexGen and cause increases in capital expenditures or production costs or reductions in levels of production at producing properties or require abandonment or delays in development of new mining properties.

POLITICAL REGULATORY RISKS

Any changes in government policy may result in changes to laws affecting ownership of assets, mining policies, monetary policies, taxation, rates of exchange, environmental regulations, labour relations and return of capital. This may affect both NexGen's ability to undertake exploration and development activities in respect of present and future properties in the manner currently contemplated, as well as its ability to continue to explore, develop and operate those properties in which it has an interest or in respect of which it has obtained exploration and development rights to date. The possibility that future governments may adopt substantially different policies, which might extend to expropriation of assets, cannot be ruled out.

COMPETITION

The mineral exploration business is a competitive business. The Corporation competes with numerous other companies and individuals who may have greater financial resources in the search for and the acquisition of personnel, funding and attractive mineral properties. As a result of this competition, the Corporation may be unable to obtain additional capital or other types of financing on acceptable terms or at all, acquire properties of interest or retain qualified personnel.

VOLATILITY OF SHARE PRICE

In recent years, the securities markets in the United States and Canada, and the TSXV in particular, have experienced a high level of price and volume volatility, and the market prices of securities of many companies have experienced wide fluctuations in price that have not necessarily been related to the operating performance, underlying asset values or prospects of such companies. There can be no assurance that continual fluctuations in price will not occur. It may be anticipated that any quoted market for the

shares will be subject to market trends and conditions generally, notwithstanding any potential success of NexGen in creating revenues, cash flows or earnings.

DIVIDEND POLICY

The Corporation has paid no dividends on its common shares since its date of incorporation and the Corporation does not anticipate paying dividends on its common shares in the near future. The Corporation anticipates that if it becomes profitable it will retain future earnings and other cash resources for the future operation and development of its business. Payment of any future dividends will be at the discretion of the Corporation's board after taking into account many factors, including the Corporation's operating results, financial condition and current and anticipated cash needs.

DIVIDENDS

The Corporation is not limited in any way in its ability to pay dividends on the common shares. However, the Corporation has not paid any dividends since incorporation and the Corporation does not expect to pay dividends in the foreseeable future. Payment of dividends in the future will be made at the discretion of the board of directors.

The timing and the amount of the dividends to be paid by the Corporation will be determined by the Corporation's board of directors from time to time based upon, among other things, cash flow, the results of operations and financial condition of the Corporation, the need for funds to finance ongoing operations and such other considerations as the board of directors considers relevant.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE

The authorized share capital of the Corporation consists of an unlimited number of common shares. As of December 31, 2014 and May 8, 2015, 195,758,536 common shares and 196,865,230 common shares, respectively, were issued and outstanding. Holders of the common shares are entitled to receive notice of meetings of shareholders of the Corporation, to attend and to cast one vote per common share at all such meetings. Holders of the common shares are entitled to receive on a *pro rata* basis such dividends if, as and when declared by the Corporation's board of directors at its discretion and, unless otherwise provided by legislation, subject to the rights of the holders of any other class or series of shares ranking senior to the common shares. The Corporation does not anticipate it will pay dividends.

In the event of any liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of the Corporation or other distribution of the assets of the Corporation among holders of the common shares for the purpose of winding-up its affairs, the holders of common shares will be entitled, subject to the rights of the holders of any other class or series of shares ranking senior to the common shares, to receive on a *pro rata* basis the remaining property or assets of the Corporation available for distribution, after the payment of debts and other liabilities.

TRADING PRICE AND VOLUME

The Corporation's common shares are listed on the TSXV under the symbol "NXE". The following table sets forth certain trading information for the common shares on the TSXV for the months indicated.

Period	High (\$)	Low (\$)	Volume
December 2013 ⁽¹⁾	0.35	0.26	1,704,004
January 2014 ⁽¹⁾	0.295	0.23	5,658,855
February 2014	0.65	0.225	21,685,878
March 2014	0.60	0.41	17,416,321
April 2014	0.45	0.32	11,402,470
May 2014	0.33	0.235	8,783,879
June 2014	0.38	0.28	6,287,819
July 2014	0.35	0.265	2,303,205
August 2014	0.60	0.29	22,119,342

September 2014	0.53	0.355	16,924,391
October 2014	0.45	0.295	10,125,015
November 2014	0.49	0.33	9,551,802
December 2014	0.41	0.34	2,965,168

The price of the common shares as quoted by the TSXV at the close of business on December 31, 2014 was C\$0.38 and at the close of the market on May 7, 2015 was C\$0.55.

PRIOR SALES

During the most recently completed financial year ended December 31, 2014, and as at the date of this Annual Information Form, NexGen issued the following unlisted stock options and share purchase warrants to purchase common shares:

Class of Securities	Date Issued	Number Issued	Exercise Price (\$)	Expiry Date
Stock Options	May 23, 2014	4,475,000	0.40	May 23, 2019
Stock Options	June 3, 2014	750,000	0.40	June 3, 2019
Stock Options	December 24, 2014	5,300,000	0.46	December 24, 2019
Share Purchase Warrants ⁽¹⁾	March 26, 2014 ⁽¹⁾	12,822,500 ⁽¹⁾	0.65	March 26, 2016
Compensation Warrants	March 26, 2014	1,535,340	0.45	March 26, 2016
Compensation Warrants	March 26, 2014	767,670	0.65	March 26, 2016
Share Purchase Warrants	Feb 21, 2014	5,714,286	0.50	May 31, 2017

(1) Warrants comprising part of units issued to subscribers under NexGen's March 2014 bought-deal financing. These Warrants are listed for trading on the TSXV.

ESCROWED SECURITIES

The following table shows the number and percentage of common shares held, to NexGen's knowledge, in escrow or subject to a contractual restriction on transfer as at the date of this Annual Information Form:

Designation of class	Number of securities held in escrow or that are subject to a contractual restriction on transfer	Percentage of class
Common Shares	1,142,544 ⁽¹⁾	Nil
Common Shares	11,887,880 ⁽²⁾	6.04% ⁽³⁾
TOTAL	13,030,424	6.62% ⁽³⁾

(1) Prior to closing of the Qualifying Transaction, 8,950,001 common shares (equivalent to an aggregate of 3,808,502, post-Consolidation common shares) (the "**Pre-QT Escrow Shares**") were held in escrow pursuant to an escrow agreement (the "**Pre-QT Escrow Agreement**") dated May 18, 2012 between the Corporation, Computershare Trust Company of Canada, as escrow agent, and certain shareholders of the Corporation, subject to the following release schedule:

- (i) 10% at the time of the final TSXV Bulletin evidencing final TSXV acceptance of the Qualifying Transaction, dated April 22, 2013 (the "**Final Exchange Bulletin**");
- (ii) 15% 6 months from the Final Exchange Bulletin;
- (iii) 15% 12 months from the Final Exchange Bulletin;

- (iv) 15% 18 months from the Final Exchange Bulletin;
- (v) 15% 24 months from the Final Exchange Bulletin;
- (vi) 15% 30 months from the Final Exchange Bulletin; and
- (vii) 15% 36 months from the Final Exchange Bulletin.

The first five releases occurred between April 22, 2013 and April 22, 2015. Currently 1,142,544 shares remain in escrow. While the Pre-QT Escrow Shares are held in escrow, subject to certain exemptions, holders of such shares are not permitted to sell, transfer, assign, mortgage, enter into a derivative transaction concerning, or otherwise deal with the Pre-QT Escrow Shares in any way. Holders of Pre-QT Escrow Shares are permitted to exercise voting rights, but may not exercise voting rights in support of one or more arrangements that would result in the repayment of capital being made on the Pre-QT Escrow Shares prior to a winding up of NexGen.

- (2) Concurrently with the closing of the Qualifying Transaction, an aggregate of 39,626,266 common shares (the "**QT Escrow Shares**") were held in escrow pursuant to an escrow agreement (the "**QT Escrow Agreement**") between the Corporation, Computershare Trust Company of Canada, as escrow agent, and certain principals of Old NexGen made as of April 19, 2013, subject to the following release schedule:

- (i) 10% at the time of the Final Exchange Bulletin;
- (ii) 15% 6 months from the Final Exchange Bulletin;
- (iii) 15% 12 months from the Final Exchange Bulletin;
- (iv) 15% 18 months from the Final Exchange Bulletin;
- (v) 15% 24 months from the Final Exchange Bulletin;
- (vi) 15% 30 months from the Final Exchange Bulletin; and
- (vii) 15% 36 months from the Final Exchange Bulletin.

The first through fifth releases occurred between April 22, 2013 and April 22, 2015. Currently 11,887,880 shares remain in escrow. While the QT Escrow Shares are held in escrow, subject to certain exemptions, holders of such shares are not permitted to sell, transfer, assign, mortgage, enter into a derivative transaction concerning, or otherwise deal with the QT Escrow Shares in any way. Holders of QT Escrow Shares are permitted to exercise voting rights, but may not exercise voting rights in support of one or more arrangements that would result in the repayment of capital being made on the QT Escrow Shares prior to a winding up of NexGen.

- (3) Based on 196,865,230 common shares issued and outstanding as at the date of this Annual Information Form.
- (4) An aggregate of 6,613,418 common shares (the "**Seed Shares**") issued to non-principals of the Corporation in connection with the Qualifying Transaction were subject to seed share resale restrictions and by April 2014 100% of seed shares issued to non-principals were eligible for release.

DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

The following table sets forth the name, province/state and country of residence, position held with the Corporation and principal occupation of each person who is a director and/or an executive officer of the Corporation as at the date hereof.

Name and Province/State of Residence ⁽¹⁾	Position(s) with the Corporation	Principal Occupation ⁽¹⁾	Board Committees	Number of Voting Securities Owned or Over Which Control or Direction is Exercised ⁽¹⁾
Leigh Curyer , British Columbia, Canada	CEO and Director (since April 2013)	CEO and Director of NexGen (April 2013 to present), CEO and Director of Old NexGen (2011 to April 2013) Partner, head of Corporate Development of Accord Nuclear Resources Management (2008 to 2011)	N/A	1,856,250 ⁽¹⁾ (0.94%)
Chris McFadden , Brighton, Australia	Corporate Director (since April	Head of Commercial, Strategy and Corporate Development Tigers Realm Coal Limited (2013 to present), General	Board Chair; Audit Committee	500,000 (0.25%)

Name and Province/State of Residence⁽¹⁾	Position(s) with the Corporation	Principal Occupation⁽¹⁾	Board Committees	Number of Voting Securities Owned or Over Which Control or Direction is Exercised⁽¹⁾
	19, 2013) Chairman of the Board since May 22, 2014.	Manager – Business Development of Tigers Realm Minerals Pty Ltd. (resource company) (2010-2013), Director of NexGen (2011 to present) and Commercial General Manager of Rio Tinto - Exploration (2006 to 2010)		
James Currie, British Columbia, Canada	Corporate Director (since April 19, 2013)	COO of Pretium Resources Inc. (2014 to present); COO of Elgin Mining Inc. (resource company) (2012 to October 2013)	Compensation and Governance Committee	638,293 ⁽²⁾ (0.32%)
Gerry Feldman, Thornhill, Canada	Corporate Director (since April 19, 2013)	Partner of DNTW Toronto LLP, a Chartered Professional Accountant and Licensed Public Accounting firm	Audit Committee	100,000 (0.05%)
Richard Patricio, Mississauga, Canada	Corporate Director (since April 19, 2013)	Chief Executive Officer, Pinetree Capital Ltd. (February 2015 to present); Vice-President, Legal and Corporate Affairs, Pinetree Capital Ltd. (investment firm) (2005 to present)	Compensation and Governance Committee (Chair)	400,000 (0.05%)
Craig Parry	Corporate Director (Since May 22, 2014.	CEO of Tigers Realm Minerals (2012 to present); CEO of Tigers Realm Coal (2012- Present).	Compensation and Governance Committee	95,582 (0.05%)
Trevor Thiele, Tennyson, Australia	Corporate Director (since April 19, 2013)	Corporate Director of NexGen (April 2013 to present), Corporate Director of Old NexGen (2011 to April 2013) CFO of ABB Grain Ltd., Rural Services Division (2006 to 2009) and CFO/Company Secretary of Bionomics Limited (2009 to 2011)	Audit Committee (Chair)	Nil (0%)
Diana Mark, British Columbia, Canada	Corporate Secretary (since January 1, 2014)	President of Greystone Corporate Services Inc. (2010 to Present), Corporate Secretary of NexGen, Integra Gold Corp. (2009 to Present), Rogue Resources Inc. (2009 to Present) and Rapier Gold Inc. (2012 to Present)	N/A	Nil (0%)
Grace Marosits	Chief Financial Officer (since November 24, 2014)	Chief Financial Officer of NexGen (November 2014 to present)	N/A	Nil (0%)
Garrett Ainsworth	Vice President, Exploration & Development (since June 3, 2014)	Vice-President Exploration & Development of NexGen (June 3, 2014 to present) Vice-President Exploration of Alpha Exploration (2013 to 2014), Vice-President Exploration of Alpha Minerals (2012 to 2013)	N/A	579,180 (0.29%)

Notes:

- (1) The information as to place of residence, principal occupation and number of NexGen common shares beneficially owned or over which a director or officer of NexGen exercises control or direction, is not within the knowledge of the management of NexGen and has been furnished by the respective directors and officers of NexGen.
- (2) 100% of these shares are held by Anacortes Management Ltd., a private company of which Mr. Currie is the sole shareholder.
- (3) Held by the Curyer Family Trust.
- (4) Held by Rockdrcan Pty Ltd., as trustee for the Rockdrcan Trust.

The principal occupations of each of the Corporation's directors and executive officers within the past five years are disclosed in the brief biographies set forth below.

James Currie (age: 60), Director

Mr. Currie is a mining engineer with over 36 years' experience in the mining industry, having worked on projects in many countries around the world. Currently, he is Chief Operating Officer for Pretium Resources Inc. From 2012 - 2013, Mr. Currie worked in a similar role with junior explorers/developers/miners Kimber Resources and Elgin Mining, helping them advance their projects. Prior to that he worked in executive management roles for First Quantum Minerals (2005 - 2006), Miramar Mining (2006 - 2008) and most notably, New Gold Inc. (from 2008 to 2011), where he eventually became Executive Vice-President and COO. Mr. Currie has a Bachelor of Science in Mining from Queen's University, and has been a Registered Professional Engineer in British Columbia since 1982.

Leigh R. Curyer (age: 43), Chief Executive Officer and Director

Mr. Curyer has over 19 years' experience in the resources and corporate sector. Mr. Curyer was previously the Chief Financial Officer and head of corporate development of Southern Cross Resources (now Uranium One). In addition, for three years Mr. Curyer was Head of Corporate Development for Accord Nuclear Resource Management assessing uranium projects worldwide for First Reserve Corporation, a global energy-focused private equity and infrastructure investment firm.

Mr. Curyer's uranium project assessment experience has been focused on assets located in Canada, Australia, USA, Africa, Central Asia and Europe, incorporating operating mines, advanced development projects and exploration prospects. Mr. Curyer is a member of the Institute of Chartered Accountants Australia.

Gerry Feldman (age: 55), Director

Vice President, Corporate Development and Chief Financial Officer, Pinetree Capital Ltd (From 2010-April 2015); Chief Financial Officer, Brownstone Energy Inc., an oil & gas exploration company; Chief Financial Officer, Mega Uranium Ltd., an exploration company; and Partner in DNTW Toronto LLP, a Chartered Professional Accounting firm and Licensed Public Accountant. Mr. Feldman is a Chartered Accountant.

Christopher W. McFadden (age: 45), Chairman of the Board and Director

Mr. McFadden is a lawyer with 21 years' experience in exploration and mining is currently the Head of Commercial, Strategy and Corporate Development for Tigers Realm Coal Limited which is listed on the ASX. Prior to commencing with the Tigers Realm Group of companies in 2010 he was a Commercial General Manager with Rio Tinto's exploration division with responsibility for gaining entry into new projects either by negotiation with government or joint venture partners or through acquisition.

Mr. McFadden has extensive international experience in managing large and complex transactions and has a broad knowledge of all aspects of project evaluation and negotiating project entry in challenging and varied environments. Mr. McFadden holds a combined law/commerce degree from Melbourne University and an MBA from Monash University.

Richard Patricio (age: 41), Director

Mr. Patricio was appointed Chief Executive Officer of Pinetree Capital Ltd. in February 2015. He joined Pinetree in November 2005 as Vice-President, Corporate and Legal Affairs. In addition, in March 2015 Mr. Patricio was appointed Chief Executive Officer and President of Mega Uranium Ltd., having been its Executive Vice-President since 2005.

Previously, Mr. Patricio worked as in-house General Counsel for a senior TSX-listed manufacturing company. Prior to that, Richard practiced law at Osler LLP in Toronto where he focused on mergers and acquisitions, securities law and general corporate transactions.

Mr. Patricio has built a number of mining companies with global operations and holds senior officer and director positions in several companies listed on stock exchanges in Toronto, Australia, London and New York. Mr. Patricio received his law degree from Osgoode Hall and was called to the Ontario bar in 2000.

Trevor J. Thiele (age: 57), Director

Mr. Thiele has over 30 years' experience in senior finance roles in medium to large Australian ASX listed companies. He has been Chief Financial Officer for companies involved in the Agribusiness sector (Elders and ABB Grain) and the Biotechnology sector (Bionomics). In these roles he combined his technical accounting and financial skills with commercial expertise thereby substantially contributing to the growth of each of these businesses. During this time, he was actively involved in IPO's, capital raisings, corporate restructures, mergers and acquisitions, refinancing and joint ventures.

Mr. Thiele is currently non-executive director of a number of non-listed Australian entities, including the role of Chairman of the Finance & Audit Committee for two of these entities.

Mr. Thiele holds a Bachelor of Arts in Accountancy from the University of South Australia and he is a member of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in Australia.

Craig Parry (Age 42), Director

Mr. Parry is a founding member of the Tigers Realm Group and was appointed to the Boards of Tigers Realm Minerals, Tigers Realm Metals and NexGen Energy Ltd. (as it then was prior to the Qualifying Transaction) in 2011. Mr. Parry was appointed to the role of CEO of Tigers Realm Coal in 2012.

Mr. Parry, as an exploration and business development geologist, has been responsible for the business development activities of the Tigers Realm Group since inception in 2009. Prior to joining Tigers Realm, Mr. Parry was the Business Development Manager for G-Resources Limited responsible for mergers and acquisitions and Principal Geologist – New Business at Oxiana Limited responsible for strategy and business development initiatives in bulk and energy commodities. At Rio Tinto he led exploration programs for iron ore, copper, diamonds, coal and bauxite in Australia, Asia and South America and was Principal Geologist for the Kintyre Uranium project pre-feasibility study. Mr Parry holds an Honours Degree in Geology and is a Member of the AusIMM.

Garrett Ainsworth (Age 36), Vice President, Exploration and Development

Mr. Ainsworth is a professional geologist and the Vice President Exploration and Development for NexGen Energy Ltd. He has a Diploma of Technology in Mining and Bachelor of Technology in Environmental Engineering with honours from BCIT, as well as a Bachelor of Science in Geology with honours from Birkbeck, University of London.

Mr. Ainsworth was instrumental in the successful progress of the Patterson Lake South (PLS) project, where he was the Project Manager for the Alpha-Fission Joint Venture from 2007 to 2013. During his tenure as Project Manager of PLS he oversaw the staking of new claims, the discovery of the boulder field, the initial high-grade uranium drill hole discovery (R00E zone), and the discovery of the high grade, near surface, uranium zones R390E and R780E during the winter 2013 drill program.

In 2013, Mr. Ainsworth was the AMEBC recipient of the Colin Spence Award (For Excellence in Global Mineral Exploration) in recognition of his efforts which led to the discovery of the high-grade uranium mineralized system at the Patterson Lake South project in the Athabasca Basin, Saskatchewan.

Apart from being involved with numerous uranium projects in the Athabasca Basin, Saskatchewan, he also obtained experience as a field geologist on gold projects in British Columbia, Nevada, and Mexico; and a diamond project in West Africa. Mr. Ainsworth worked as an environmental consultant on a variety of industrial and mining projects from 2002 to 2007.

Diana Mark (age:57), Corporate Secretary

Mrs. Mark has been involved in the mineral exploration industry for over 26 years. Mrs. Mark is President of Greystone Corporate Services Inc., which specializes in corporate and regulatory compliance, including the facilitation of private placements and the provision of accounting services. Mrs. Mark has served as Corporate Secretary for NexGen since January 1, 2014, and also serves as Corporate Secretary for Integra Gold Corp. (2009 to Present); Rogue Resources Inc. (2009 to Present); and Rapier Gold Inc. (2012 to Present).

Grace Marosits (age:45), Chief Financial Officer

Ms. Marosits obtained her Chartered Accountant designation from Deloitte, where she specialized in audit and taxation, and holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree from the University of British Columbia. Ms. Marosits previously worked in senior corporate accounting positions at Westcoast Energy (now Spectra Energy) and Ballard Power.

Directors are elected at each annual meeting of NexGen's shareholders and serve as such until the next annual meeting or until their successors are elected or appointed.

As at May 8, 2015, the directors and executive officers of NexGen, as a group, beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, or exercised control or direction over 4,169,305 common shares, representing 2.12% of the total number of common shares outstanding before giving effect to the exercise of options or warrants to purchase common shares held by such directors and executive officers. The statement as to the number of common shares beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, or over which control or direction is exercised by the directors and executive officers of NexGen as a group is based upon information furnished by the directors and executive officers.

CEASE TRADE ORDERS, BANKRUPTCIES, PENALTIES AND SANCTIONS

To the knowledge of the Corporation, no director, executive officer or promoter of the Corporation is, or within ten years prior to the date hereof has been, a director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer of any company (including the Corporation) that, (i) was subject to a cease trade order, an order similar to a cease trade order or an order that denied the relevant company access to any exemption under securities legislation, that was in effect for a period of more than 30 consecutive days, that was issued while the director or executive officer was acting in the capacity as director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer; or (ii) was subject to a cease trade order, an order similar to a cease trade order or an order that denied the relevant company access to any exemption under securities legislation, that was in effect for a period of more than 30 consecutive days, that was issued after the director or executive officer ceased to be a director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer and which resulted from an event that occurred while that person was acting in the capacity as director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer.

To the knowledge of the Corporation, no director, executive officer or promoter of the Corporation, or a shareholder holding a sufficient number of securities of the Corporation to affect materially control of the Corporation, (i) is, or within ten years prior to the date hereof has been, a director or executive officer of any company (including the Corporation) that, while that person was acting in that capacity, or within a year of that person ceasing to act in that capacity, became bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency or was subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold its assets, or (ii) has, within ten years prior to the date hereof, become bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation

relating to bankruptcy or insolvency, or become subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors, or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold the assets of the director, executive officer or shareholder.

To the knowledge of the Corporation, no director, executive officer or promoter of the Corporation, or a shareholder holding a sufficient number of securities of the Corporation to affect materially the control of the Corporation, has been subject to (i) any penalties or sanctions imposed by a court relating to securities legislation or by a securities regulatory authority or has entered into a settlement agreement with a securities regulatory authority; or (ii) any other penalties or sanctions imposed by a court or regulatory body that would likely be considered important to a reasonable investor in making an investment decision.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

To the best of NexGen's knowledge, and other than as disclosed in this annual information form, there are no known existing or potential conflicts of interest between NexGen and any director or officer of NexGen, except that certain of the directors and officers serve as directors and officers of other public companies, and therefore it is possible that a conflict may arise between their duties as a director or officer of NexGen and their duties as a director or officer of such other companies. See "Risk Factors — Conflicts of Interest".

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND REGULATORY ACTIONS

On June 24, 2014 Alpha Exploration Ltd., filed a civil claim in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, seeking an injunction restraining NexGen from employing Garrett Ainsworth for a six month period commencing June 2, 2014. On October 7, 2014, the Supreme Court dismissed Alpha's application in its entirety, with costs payable to the Defendants.

Matthew Mason and Timothy Young, the Optionors of the Corporation's Radio Project, are claiming a 1% net smelter returns royalty and a 10% carried interest on various other of the Corporation's mineral claims. This claim does not relate to any of the Corporation's material properties.

Neither during the financial year ended December 31, 2014, nor as of the date hereof, has the Corporation: (i) been subject to any penalties or sanctions imposed against the Corporation by a court relating to securities legislation or by a securities regulatory authority or any penalty or sanction imposed by a court or regulatory body against the Corporation that would likely to be considered important to a reasonable investor in making an investment decision; or (ii) entered into any settlement agreement relating to securities legislation or with a securities regulatory authority.

INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS

Other than as described below and elsewhere in this annual information form, since incorporation, no director, executive officer or person or company that beneficially owns, or controls or directs, directly or indirectly, more than 10% of the common shares of the Corporation or any associate or affiliate of any such person or company, has or had any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction that has materially affected or is reasonably expected to materially affect the Corporation except that (i) at the time the Loan Agreement was entered into, Tigers Realm was an insider of the Corporation; and (ii) upon giving effect to the June 25, 2013, June 28, 2013 and January 15, 2014 amendments to the Radio Option Agreement, the Optionors became insiders of the Corporation. As at December 31, 2014, the Optionors were no longer insiders of the Corporation.

AUDITORS, TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR

The transfer agent and registrar for the common shares in Canada is Computershare Investor Services Inc. with its principal offices in Vancouver, British Columbia and Toronto, Ontario.

The auditors of the Corporation are Davidson & Company, Suite 1200-609 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia. V7Y 1G6.

MATERIAL CONTRACTS

The only material contracts entered into by the Corporation within the financial year ended December 31, 2014, or before such time that is still in effect, other than in the ordinary course of business, are the following:

1. the Radio Option Agreement (as amended June 5, 2012, November 23, 2012, April 19, 2013, June 25, 2013, June 28, 2013 and January 15, 2014);
2. the Amalgamation Agreement;
3. the Loan Agreement (including the amendment made effective April 15, 2013);
4. the Mega Agreement; and
5. 2014 Option Agreement.

A copy of the above material contracts are available under the Corporation's profile at www.sedar.com.

INTERESTS OF EXPERTS

The Rook I Technical Report entitled "Technical Report on the Rook I Property, Saskatchewan, Canada" dated effective February 28, 2014, was prepared by J. Allan McNutt, a "qualified person" under NI 43-101.

The Radio Technical Report entitled "Technical Report on the Radio Property, S-113997" dated effective as of September 25, 2012 was prepared by J. Allan McNutt, a "qualified person" under NI 43-101.

J. Allan McNutt held less than one percent of the outstanding securities of the Corporation or of any associate or affiliate of the Corporation when he prepared the technical reports referred to above or following the preparation of such technical reports. Mr. McNutt did not receive any direct or indirect interest in any securities of the Corporation or of any associate or affiliate of the Corporation in connection with the preparation of such technical reports, and he is not expected to receive any such interest. However, Mr. McNutt holds 400,000 stock options, each to acquire one common share of the Corporation.

Mr. McNutt is not currently expected to be elected, appointed or employed as a director, officer or employee of the Corporation or of any associate or affiliate of the Corporation.

Davidson & Company LLP is the independent registered chartered accountants of the Corporation and is independent within the meaning of the Rules of Professional Conduct of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of British Columbia and the rules and standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information relating to the Corporation can be found on SEDAR at www.sedar.com; or on NexGen's website at www.nexgenenergy.ca. Additional information, including directors' and officers' remuneration and indebtedness, principal holders of the Corporation's securities and securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is contained in the management information circular of the Corporation dated May 21, 2013, which is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com. Additional financial information is provided in the Corporation's audited consolidated financial statements and management's discussion and analysis for the financial year ended December 31, 2014.

AUDIT COMMITTEE DISCLOSURE

A copy of the Audit Committee Charter is attached hereto as Schedule "A".

The Audit Committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Thiele (Chair), McFadden and Feldman. All of the members of the Audit Committee are independent and financially literate, in each case, as defined under National Instrument 52-110 – *Audit Committees* ("**NI 52-110**"). A general description of the education and experience of each Audit Committee member which is relevant to the performance of his responsibilities as an Audit Committee member is contained in their respective biographies set out under "Particulars of Matters to be Acted Upon - Election of Directors".

The Corporation is relying on the exemption in section 6.1 of NI 52-110 regarding the requirements of Part 5 (*Reporting Obligations*) of NI 52-110.

Audit Committee Oversight

At no time since the commencement of NexGen's most recently completed financial year have any recommendations by the Audit Committee respecting the appointment and/or compensation of NexGen's external auditors not been adopted by the Board.

Reliance on Certain Exemptions

At no time since the commencement of the Corporation's most recently completed financial year has the Corporation relied on the exemption in Section 2.4 of NI 52-110 (*De Minimis Non-audit Services*), or an exemption from NI 52-110, in whole or in part, granted under Part 8 (*Exemptions*) of NI 52-110.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Pursuant to the terms of the Audit Committee Charter, the Audit Committee shall pre-approve all non-audit services to be provided to NexGen by the external auditor.

External Auditor Service Fees (By Category)

1. *Audit Fees* – Davidson & Company LLP billed NexGen approximately \$45,900 during the financial year ended December 31, 2014 for audit fees.
2. *Audit-Related Fees* – Davidson & Company LLP billed NexGen \$22,950 during the financial year ended December 31, 2014 for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audits or reviewing NexGen's financial statements and are not included under "Audit Fees"
3. *Tax Fees* – Davidson & Company LLP billed NexGen \$23,250 during the financial year ended December 31, 2014 for services related to tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.
4. *All Other Fees* — Davidson & Company LLP billed NexGen \$Nil during the financial year ended December 31, 2014 for services other than those reported above.

SCHEDULE "A"



CHARTER OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE (Approved May, 2013)

PURPOSE

The primary function of the Committee is to assist the Board of Directors (the "Board") in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, primarily through (i) overseeing management's conduct of the Company's accounting and financial reporting process and systems of internal accounting and financial controls; (ii) selecting, retaining and monitoring the independence and performance of the Company's external auditor, including overseeing the audits of the Company's financial statements, and approving any non-audit services; and (iii) providing an avenue of communication among the external auditor, management and the Board.

COMPOSITION, PROCEDURES AND ORGANIZATION

- a) The Board shall appoint the members and the Chair of the Committee each year. The Board may at any time remove or replace any member of the Committee and may fill any vacancy in the Committee.
- b) The Committee shall consist of at least three members of the Board, (i) a majority of whom are not officers, employees, or control persons of the Company or any of its associates or affiliates, as defined under the rules of the TSX Venture Exchange and (ii) each of whom shall be independent as determined in accordance with and required by applicable securities laws, rules, regulations and guidelines ("Applicable Securities Laws").
- c) All Committee members shall be financially literate to the extent required by Applicable Securities Laws. For this purpose, financial literacy shall mean the ability of a member to read and understand a set of financial statements that present a breadth and level of accounting issues that are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of the issues that can reasonably be expected to be raised by the Company's financial statements. At least one member should have accounting or related financial expertise.
- d) If the Chair is not present at any meeting of the Committee, one of the other members of the Committee present at the meeting shall be chosen by the Committee to preside at the meeting.
- e) The Secretary of the Company shall be the secretary of the Committee, unless otherwise determined by the Committee.
- f) The Committee shall meet at least four times annually on such dates and at such locations as may be determined by the Chair of the Committee. The external auditors or any two Directors may request the Chair to call a meeting of the Committee and may attend at such meeting or inform the Committee of a specific matter of concern to such Directors.

- g) The quorum for meetings shall be a majority of the members of the Committee, present in person or by telephone or other telecommunication device that permits all persons participating in the meeting to speak and to hear each other. The Committee may also act by unanimous written consent of its members.
- h) If and whenever a vacancy shall exist on the Committee, the remaining members may exercise all powers of the Committee so long as a quorum remains.
- i) Notice of the time and place of every meeting shall be given in writing or by e-mail or facsimile communication to each member of the Committee at least 24 hours prior to the time fixed for such meeting; provided, however, that a member may in any manner waive a notice of a meeting and attendance of a member at a meeting is a waiver of notice of the meeting, except where a member attends a meeting for the express purpose of objecting to the transaction of any business on the grounds that the meeting is not lawfully called.
- j) The Chief Financial Officer shall develop the Committee's agenda in consultation with the Chair of the Committee. The agenda and information concerning the business to be conducted at each Committee meeting shall, to the extent practical, be communicated to the members of the Committee sufficiently in advance of each meeting to permit meaningful review.
- k) At the invitation of the Chair, one or more officers or employees of the Company may, and if required by the Committee shall, attend a meeting of the Committee. The external auditors shall receive notice of and have the right to attend all meetings of the Committee.
- l) The Committee shall fix its own procedure at meetings, keep records of its proceedings and report to the Board when the Committee may deem appropriate (but not later than the next meeting of the Board).
- m) The Committee, when it considers it necessary or advisable, may retain, at the Company's expense, outside consultants or advisors to assist or advise the Committee independently on any matter within its mandate. The Committee shall have the sole authority to retain and terminate any such consultants or advisors, including sole authority to approve the fees and other retention terms for such persons.
- n) The external auditors shall have a direct line of communication to the Committee through the Chair and may bypass management if deemed necessary. The external auditors shall report to the Committee and are ultimately accountable to the Board and the Committee.
- o) The Committee, through its Chair, may contact directly the external auditors and any employee of the Company as it deems necessary.
- p) In discharging its responsibilities, the Committee shall have full access to all books, records, facilities and personnel of the Company, to the Company's legal counsel and to such other information respecting the Company as it considers necessary or advisable in order to perform its duties and responsibilities.
- q) The Committee shall periodically review this Charter and the calendar of activities, attached as Appendix A, and submit any recommended changes thereto for approval by the Board of Directors.

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The Committee's principal responsibility is one of oversight. The Company's management is responsible for preparing the Company's financial statements and ensuring their accuracy and completeness and the Company's external auditor is responsible for auditing and/or reviewing those

financial statements. In carrying out these oversight responsibilities, the Committee is not required to provide any expert or special assurance as to the Company's financial statements or any professional certification as to the external auditor's work.

1. Overall Duties and Responsibilities

The overall duties and responsibilities of the Committee shall be as follows:

- a) to assist the Board in the discharge of its responsibilities relating to the quality, acceptability and integrity of the Company's accounting principles, reporting practices and internal controls;
- b) to assist the Board in the discharge of its responsibilities relating to compliance with disclosure requirements under Applicable Securities Laws, including approval of the Company's annual and quarterly consolidated financial statements together with the Management's Discussion and Analysis;
- c) to establish and maintain a direct line of communication with the Company's external auditors and assess their performance;
- d) to ensure that the management of the Company has designed, implemented and is maintaining an effective system of internal controls; and
- e) to report regularly to the Board on the fulfillment of its duties and responsibilities.

2. Public Filings, Policies and Procedures

The Committee is charged with the responsibility to:

- a) review and approve for recommendation to the Board:
 - i) the annual audited financial statements, with the report of the external auditors, the Management's Discussion and Analysis and the impact of unusual items and changes in accounting policies and estimates;
 - ii) the unaudited financial statements, the Management's Discussion and Analysis and the impact of unusual items and changes in accounting policies and estimates;
 - iii) financial information in earnings press releases;
 - iv) the annual information form (if applicable);
 - v) prospectuses; and
 - vi) financial information in other public reports and public filings requiring approval by the Board;

and report to the Board with respect thereto;

- b) ensure adequate procedures are in place for the review of the Company's disclosure of financial information extracted or derived from the Company's financial statements and periodically assess those procedures;
- c) review with management and the external auditor:

- i) significant variances in actual financial results from budgeted or projected results;
 - ii) any actual or proposed regulatory changes or other changes in accounting, or financial reporting practices;
 - iii) any significant or unusual events or transactions and the methods used to account for significant or unusual transactions where different approaches are possible;
 - iv) any actual or potential breaches of debt covenants;
 - v) the consistency of, and any changes to, accounting policies;
 - vi) whether the Company has followed appropriate accounting standards and made appropriate estimates and judgments;
 - vii) the presentation and impact of significant risks and uncertainties
 - viii) the accuracy, completeness and clarity of disclosure in the Company's financial reports and the context in which statements are made;
 - ix) any tax assessments, changes in tax legislation or any other tax matters that could have a material effect upon the financial position or operating results of the Company and the manner in which such matters have been disclosed in the financial statements;
 - x) any litigation, claim or other contingency that could have a material effect upon the financial position or operating results of the Company and the manner in which such matters have been disclosed in the financial statements;
 - xi) all material information presented in the Management's Discussion and Analysis;
 - xii) material communications between the external auditor and management, such as any management letter or schedule of unadjusted differences;
 - xiii) with the external auditors any fraud, illegal acts, deficiencies in internal control or other similar issues; and
 - xiv) general accounting trends and issues of auditing policy, standards and practices which affect or may affect the Company;
- d) review with management and the external auditors any correspondence with securities regulators or other regulatory or government agencies which raise material issues regarding the Company's financial reporting or accounting policies.

3. Financial Management

The duties and responsibilities of the Committee as they relate to financial management are to:

- a) review and recommend approval by the Board of the annual capital and operating budgets;
- b) at regularly scheduled meetings, (i) review the Company's financial position as disclosed in the income statement, balance sheet, statement of cash flows; (ii) review the Company's forecast against the approved budget; (iii) review the Company's cash position, liquidity and capital requirements.

4. Internal Controls, Risk Management and Compliance

The duties and responsibilities of the Committee as they relate to the internal controls, risk management and compliance are to:

- a) evaluate whether management is setting the appropriate “control culture” by communicating the importance of internal controls and the management of risk and ensuring that all employees have an understanding of their roles and responsibilities;
- b) review the adequacy, appropriateness and effectiveness of the Company’s policies and business practices which impact on the integrity, financial and otherwise, of the Company, including those relating to insurance, accounting, information services and systems and financial controls, management reporting, Code of Business Ethics and risk management;
- c) review compliance under the Company’s Code of Business Ethics;
- d) review any issues between management and the external auditors that could affect the financial reporting or internal controls of the Company;
- e) periodically review the Company’s accounting and auditing policies, practices and procedures and the extent to which recommendations made by the external auditors have been implemented;
- f) review annually the adequacy and quality of the Company’s financial and accounting staffing;
- g) review annually with the external auditor any significant matters regarding the Company’s internal controls and procedures over financial reporting, including any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in their design or operation, that have come to their attention during the conduct of their annual audit, and review whether internal control recommendations made by the auditor have been implemented by management;
- h) receive reports from management on assessment and management of risks and review major risk exposures and mitigation strategies as well as the guidelines and policies that management has put in place to govern the process of monitoring, controlling and reporting such risks;
- i) review and recommend for approval by the Board the appointment of the Chief Financial Officer and review appointment of any other key financial executives involved in the financial reporting process;
- j) establish procedures for:
 - i) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting, internal controls, or auditing matters; and
 - ii) the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of the Company of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters;
- k) review any correspondence from regulators or government entities or other issues relating to compliance with laws or regulations that could have an impact on the Company’s financial condition;
- l) receive a report from management on the Company’s source deductions and other remittances under applicable tax or other legislation;
- m) review and approve related party transactions.

5. External Auditor

The duties and responsibilities of the Committee as they relate to the external auditor shall be as follows:

- a) to consider and make recommendations to the Board, to be put to shareholders for approval at the annual meeting of shareholders, in relation to the appointment, re-appointment and removal of the Company's external auditor. The Committee shall oversee the selection process for a new auditor and if an auditor resigns the Committee shall investigate the issues leading to this and decide whether any action is required;
- b) to oversee the relationship with the external auditor, including, without limitation:
 - i) to recommend to the Board for approval the terms of engagement of the external auditor, including remuneration for the audit, and review any engagement letter issued at the start of each audit and the scope of the audit;
 - ii) to recommend to the Board for approval the engagement of the external auditor for interim reviews, including the remuneration therefor;
 - iii) to assess annually their independence and objectivity taking into account relevant professional and regulatory requirements and the relationship with the auditor as a whole, including the provision of and fees for any non-audit services;
 - iv) to satisfy itself that there are no relationships (such as family, employment, investment, financial or business) between the external auditor and the Company (other than in the ordinary course of business);
 - v) to review and approve the Company's hiring policies regarding partners, employees and former partners and employees of the present and any former external auditor of the Company;
 - vi) to monitor the auditor's compliance with relevant ethical and professional guidance on the rotation of audit partners, the level of fees paid by the Company compared to the overall fee income of the firm, office and partner and other related requirements; and
 - vii) to assess annually their qualifications, expertise and resources and the effectiveness of the audit process which shall include a report from the external auditor on their own internal quality procedures.
- c) to review with the external auditor, upon completion of the audit and interim reviews:
 - i) contents of the report;
 - ii) scope and quality of the audit work performed;
 - iii) adequacy of the Company's financial and auditing personnel;
 - iv) co-operation received from the Company's personnel during the audit;
 - v) internal resources used;
 - vi) significant transactions outside of the normal business of the Company;

- vii) significant proposed adjustments and recommendations for improving internal accounting controls, accounting principles and management systems;
- viii) the quality, acceptability and integrity of the Company's accounting policies and principles;
- ix) the non-audit services provided by the external auditors;
- x) the effect of regulatory and accounting initiatives as well as off-balance sheet structures on the Company's financial statements;
- xi) the management letter and management's response to the external auditor's findings and recommendations.

and report to the Board in respect of the foregoing and on such other matters as they consider necessary;

- d) to implement structures and procedures to ensure that the Committee meets with the external auditors on a regular basis in the absence of management in order to review any difficulties encountered by the external auditors in carrying out the audit and to resolve disagreements between the external auditors and management; and
- e) to pre-approve the retention of the external auditor for any non-audit service and the fee for such service.

The Committee may satisfy the pre-approval requirement in subsection (e) if:

- i) the aggregate amount of all the non-audit services that were not pre-approved constitutes no more than five per cent of the total amount of revenues paid by the Company to its external auditors during the fiscal year in which the services are provided;
- ii) the services were not recognized by the Company at the time of the engagement to be non-audit services; and
- iii) the services are promptly brought to the attention of the Committee and are approved, prior to the completion of the audit, by the Committee or by one or more members of the Committee to whom authority to grant such approvals has been delegated by the Committee.

The Committee may delegate to one or more independent members the authority to pre-approve non-audit services provided that the pre-approval of non-audit services by any member to whom authority has been delegated must be presented to the full Committee at its first scheduled meeting following such pre-approval.

- f) to oversee the hiring of any partner, employee, reviewing tax professional or other person providing audit assurance to the external auditor of the Company on any aspect of its certification of the Company's financial statements which shall be in accordance with the following guidelines:
 - i) no member of the audit team that is auditing a business of the Company can be hired into that business or into a position to which that business reports for a period of three years after the audit;

- ii) no former partner or employee of the external auditor may be made an officer of the Company or any of its subsidiaries for three years following the end of the individual's association with the external auditor;
- iii) the CFO or CEO must approve all office hires from the external auditor; and,
- iv) the CFO or CEO must report annually to the Committee on any hires within these guidelines during the preceding year.

COMMITTEE CHAIR

The Chair of the Committee shall be appointed annually by the Board and shall be a duly elected member of the Board and independent as determined pursuant to applicable securities law, rules and the requirements of any applicable stock exchange.

Where a vacancy occurs at any time in the position of the Committee Chair, it shall be filled by the Board. The Board may remove and replace the Committee Chair at any time.

The Chair of the Committee shall lead and oversee the Committee to ensure it fulfills its mandate as set out in its terms of reference. In particular, the Chair shall:

- (a) organize the Committee to function independently of management, including organizing in-camera sessions and other meetings without management;
- (b) foster ethical and responsible decision-making by the Committee and its members;
- (c) deal effectively with dissent and work constructively towards arriving at decisions and achieving consensus;
- (d) ensure that the Committee has an opportunity to meet without members of management present at regular intervals;
- (e) determine, in consultation with the Committee and management, the time and places of the meetings of the Committee;
- (f) manage the affairs of the Committee, including ensuring that the Committee is organized properly, functions effectively and meets its obligations and responsibilities;
- (g) co-ordinate with management and the secretary to the Committee to ensure that matters to be considered by the Committee are properly presented and given the appropriate opportunity for discussion;
- (h) provide advice and counsel to the President and Chief Executive Officer and other senior members of management in the areas covered by the Committee's mandate;
- (i) preside as chair of each meeting of the Committee; and
- (j) communicate with all members of the Committee to co-ordinate their input, ensure their accountability and provide for the effectiveness of the Committee.

**APPENDIX A
AUDIT COMMITTEE CALENDAR OF ACTIVITIES**

Agenda Item	February	May	August	November
Approve minutes of last meeting	X	X	X	X
Public Filings, Policies and Procedures				
Receive a report on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • current accounting, financial, tax and reporting issues • results for the applicable period with variances from budget and projections • critical accounting policies, significant estimates, alternative treatments of financial information and material communication between management and external auditor • any changes in accounting policies, trends or practises or financial reporting requirements that may affect the financial statements • the (i) tax assessments, changes or other tax issues; and (ii) any material pending or threatened litigation, claims and assessments that could have a material impact on the Company's financial position • presentation, impact of or changes to significant risks and uncertainties • any actual or potential breaches of debt covenants 	X	X	X	X
Review any correspondence from securities regulators or other agencies regarding financial reporting or accounting policies	X	X	X	X
Review financial statement certification process and disclosure controls and procedures.	X	X	X	X
Review the annual financial statements and the auditor's report thereon and related MD&A and press release and recommend approval of such documents to the Board.	X			

Agenda Item	February	May	August	November
Review the annual information form and other annual public information documents (if applicable)	X			
Review interim financial statements and MD&A and the auditor's report thereon and recommend approval of the interim financial statements and MD&A to the Board.		X	X	X
Financial Management				
Review the Company's financial position as disclosed in the income statement, balance sheet, statement of cash flows	X	X	X	X
Review the Company's forecast against the approved budget	X	X	X	X
Review the Company's cash position, liquidity and capital requirements	X	X	X	X
Review and recommend approval by the Board of the annual capital and operating budgets				X
Internal Controls and Risk Management Systems				
Review any reports under the Whistleblowing Policy	X	X	X	X
Review any new appointments to senior positions with financial reporting responsibilities.	X	X	X	X
Review external auditor's significant audit findings and management's responses to suggestions made	X	X	X	X
Review adequacy of financial and accounting staff	X	X	X	X
Review hedging programs and policies	X	X	X	X
Chair of Committee to review Board Chair and CEO expenses	X	X	X	X

Agenda Item	February	May	August	November
Obtain assurance from the external auditor regarding the overall control environment and the adequacy of accounting system controls.	X			
Review procedures for receipt and treatment of complaints regarding accounting controls or auditing matters and confidential, anonymous submission of concerns regarding accounting or auditing matters.			X	
Receive report on risk assessment and risk management				X
Review insurance programs				X
Review compliance under the Code of Business Ethics				X
External Auditors				
Receive report on audit/interim reviews from external auditor	X	X	X	X
Discuss in private with the external auditor matters affecting the conduct of their audit, interim reviews and other corporate matters.	X	X	X	X
Review and approve engagement of the external auditor for non-audit services.	X	X	X	X
Recommend to the Board the engagement of the external auditor for the interim reviews and related fees	X			
Recommend to the Board the appointment of the external auditor for approval by the shareholders	X			
Review of external auditor, including auditors' report on independence and internal quality procedures	X			
Review the audit plan with the external auditor and recommend the audit fees for approval by the Board				X

Agenda Item	February	May	August	November
Governance Matters				
Review key finance polices, as required	X	X	X	X
Review Audit Committee Charter & Calendar of Activities				X
Compliance with Laws and Regulations				
Review any instances of fraud or illegal activities	X	X	X	X
Receive report on source deductions and other remittances	X	X	X	X
Review any compliance issues that could impact financial statements	X	X	X	X